


TPL BERNALILLO COUNTY GREENPRINT SURVEY JUNE 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Introduction	3
Methodology	
II. Executive Summary	
III. Summary of Results.	
IV. Data Tables	
V. DEMOGRAPHICS	
VI. OUFSTIONNAIRF	53



METHODOLOGY

This research study was commissioned by The Trust for Public Land in order determine Bernalillo County residents' attitudes and opinions regarding open space projects in the County. The survey also determined what residents see as the highest priorities for future open space and land use projects and how funds generated from the 2014 mill levy should best be used.

THE INTERVIEW

A random sample of 410 Bernalillo County residents was interviewed by telephone (83% reside within Albuquerque City limits). Telephone numbers, including landlines and cell phones, were generated from the Research & Polling, Inc. database. All interviews were conducted between May 6th and 16th, 2016.

Given the prevalence of cell phone users, 66% of the interviews were completed by random digit dialing cell phone number prefixes that have been issued in Bernalillo County.

The telephone interviewers are professionals who are brought together for a training session prior to each survey. This ensures their complete and consistent understanding of the survey instrument. A total of 410 adult residents in New Mexico completed interviews.

MARGIN OF ERROR

A sample size of 410 at a 95% confidence level provides a maximum margin of error of approximately 4.8%. In theory, in 95 out of 100 cases, the results based on a sample of 410 will differ by no more than 4.8 percentage points in either direction from what would have been obtained by interviewing all Bernalillo County residents.


SAMPLE BIAS

In any survey, there are some respondents who will refuse to speak to the professional interviewer. A lower response rate among certain types of individuals can result in a sample wherein certain types of individuals are over-represented or under-represented. The potential for sampling bias increases as the response rate decreases. Research & Polling set quotas for age, gender and ethnicity to ensure that subgroups were not over-counted (seniors, females) or under-counted (18 to 34 year olds, Hispanics). This has the effect of minimizing, but not necessarily eliminating, sampling bias.

THE REPORT

This report summarizes the results from each question in the survey and reports on any variances in attitude or perception, where significant, among demographic subgroups. The subgroups examined in this report include:

- Age
- Ethnicity
- Gender
- Level of education
- Household income
- Region
- Reside inside or outside of Albuquerque limits
- Children in the household



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

There are many considerations that must be made as Bernalillo County moves forward deciding where to purchase land with funds generated from the 2014 open space mill levy. The results of this telephone survey among 410 County residents provide some important insights into what the public believes should be the top priorities for the County moving forward.

When it comes to environmental issues, County residents express the most concern about protecting area water supplies and water quality. Residents also rate protecting the water quality of rivers and streams, and protecting the Bosque and other lands along the Rio Grande as the two highest priorities when deciding what lands to purchase.

While protecting water quality and the Bosque are perceived as being the two *highest* priorities, the large majority of County residents also feel that protecting the habitat for wildlife and birds, protecting agricultural lands around the Rio Grande from future development, preserving local farms and ranches, and preserving lands and buildings that are historically or culturally significant are high priorities when deciding where to purchase land.

The importance of protecting the Bosque/Rio Grande area and agricultural lands is further illustrated when residents were asked what would be their first and second choice of where land should be purchased when given six different options. In total, 59% of residents say purchasing land along the river in the North Valley would be their first or second choice of the different options, while 49% said buying agricultural lands in the South Valley would be their first or second choice. In comparison, 35% say buying land in the foothills/East Mountains to provide more foot and bike paths would be their first or second choice, and 18% rank buying cultural and historical sights first or second.


One of the calculations the County must make when deciding where to purchase land is the trade-off between buying smaller/more expensive lots or purchasing larger tracts that are less expensive. Consistent with the results discusses above, the majority (52%) of residents would prefer buying small, but more expensive land in areas that are environmentally sensitive (as would be the case with land near the river or agricultural lands), whereas 38% say they would prefer the County purchase larger tracts of land in areas that are less environmentally sensitive.

There are further considerations that must be made when deciding on what lands to purchase. The vast majority of residents feel it is important that the land should benefit the greatest number of people, and should do the most to help encourage healthy activities and promote community health, in addition to being easily accessible to the public. Though still a majority, residents place comparatively less importance on purchasing land that is the most at risk from future development and purchasing land that is next to existing open space or agricultural areas.

It is not surprising the vast majority of residents feel it is important that the land purchased should provide benefit to the greatest number of residents and is easily accessible. However, the large majority also strongly supports buying land that will help protect areas near the river and/or agricultural lands. Finding pieces of property that can balance all of these desires may present the County with some challenges.

The County will need to carefully evaluate all of the costs and benefits when choosing where to purchase land and how the land is to be used. Buying land in environmentally sensitive areas may require reduced public access which could lead to criticism from some residents who feel they do not have enough recreational opportunities available to them.

Compared to other options, there are comparatively low levels of public support for buying land in the Sand Dune area or purchasing more land in the foothills or East Mountain area for more walking/hiking/biking trails. This is most likely due to the fact there are already many trails that are currently available in the foothill/mountain areas. Ideally the County would be able to find land that will help to protect the Bosque area (which may include farm lands) and will allow for public access.



BIGGEST ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES FACING BERNALILLO COL	INTY
Total Sample (n=410) Top 10 Unaided Responses	
WATER SHORTAGE/SUPPLY/DROUGHT	27%
WATER QUALITY	16%
AIR QUALITY: POLLUTION	14%
WATER POLLUTION (IN GENERAL)	8%
LITTER/TRASH/ILLEGAL DUMPING/LANDFILL	8%
WATER POLLUTION FROM KAFB/JET FUEL	4%
AIR QUALITY: POLLEN/ALLERGIES	3%
BOSQUE: FIRE/THREAT OF FIRE	3%
NOTHING IN PARTICULAR	10%
DON'T KNOW/WON'T SAY	21%

Bernalillo County residents were asked, in an unaided, open-ended manner, what they feel are the biggest environmental issues facing the County. Interestingly, four of the top six responses deal with water issues, as over one-quarter (27%) mention water shortage/supply/drought, 16% mention water quality, 8% mention water pollution in general, and 4% mention water pollution from KAFB /jet fuel.

Other frequently mentioned responses include air quality/pollution (14%) and litter/trash/illegal dumping/landfill (8%). Of note, three-in-ten (31%) residents did not mention any environmental issues facing the County. Interestingly, residents in the Valley/Downtown area (15%) are more likely than others to mention litter/trash/illegal dumping/landfill.

OVERALL INTEREST IN VARIOUS ACTIVITIES (SUMMARY TABLE)

TOTAL SAMPLE (N=410)

RANKED BY HIGHEST PERCENTAGE "VERY INTERESTED"

	Very				NOT INTERESTED		
	INTERESTED				AT ALL	Don't Know/	
	5	4	3	2	1	Won't Say	Mean
VISITING PUBLIC PARKS	59%	21%	12%	4%	4%	-	4.3
VISITING CULTURAL OR HISTORICAL SITES	47%	24%	20%	5%	3%	-	4.1
WALKING, RUNNING OR BIKING IN AREAS AROUND THE	44%	14%	20%	6%	15%	1%	3.7
RIO GRANDE	44/0	14/0	20/6	0/0	15/6	1/0	3.7
WALKING, RUNNING OR BIKING IN THE SANDIAS	41%	19%	17%	9%	14%	1%	3.6
EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS FOCUSED ON THE LOCAL	36%	20%	21%	11%	10%	1%	3.6
ENVIRONMENT	30%	20%	21%	11%	10%	170	3.0
VISITING UNDEVELOPED OPEN SPACE AREAS	29%	18%	23%	12%	17%	1%	3.3
BIRD AND WILDLIFE WATCHING	26%	14%	21%	18%	20%	*	3.1
VISITING DEMONSTRATION GARDENS OR FARMS	22%	21%	23%	15%	17%	1%	3.2

^{*} LESS THAN 1% REPORTED.

Bernalillo County residents were asked to **rate how interested they would be in various activities** using a five-point scale where a score of five is *very interested* and a score of one is *not interested at all*.

As shown above, the vast majority (80%) of residents are interested (a score of 4 or 5) in **visiting public parks**, with over half (59%) saying they are *very interested*. Residents on the Westside (88%), those ages 18 to 34 (91%), those with children in the household (90%), and women (86%) are more likely than others to say they are interested in visiting public parks.

Seventy-one percent of residents say they are interested in **visiting cultural or historic sites**, with nearly half (47%) who say they are *very interested*. Westside residents (82%), women (79%), and those with higher education attainment levels are more likely than others to be interested in visiting cultural or historic sites.

Six-in-ten residents say they are interested in walking, running, or biking in the Sandias, with 41% who say they are *very interested*. Nearly one-quarter (23%) of residents say they are not interested (a score of 1 or 2) in this activity. Those ages 18 to 49 (74%) and those with children (72%) are more likely than others to be interested in this activity.

When it comes to walking, running, or biking in areas around the Rio Grande, 58% of residents say they are interested (44% *very interested*), while 21% say they are not interested in this activity. Residents of the Valley/Downtown (67%) and Westside (73%) regions, Hispanics (68%), and those with children in the home (67%) are more likely than others to be interested in this activity.

[†] The Mean score is derived by taking the average score based on the 5-point scale. The Very interested response is assigned a value of 5; the Not at all interested response is assigned a value of 1. The Don't Know/Won't Say responses are excluded from the calculation of the Mean.

Over half (56%) of residents express interest in **educational programs focused on the local environment**, with 36% who are *very interested*. One-fifth (21%) of residents have a neutral opinion and another fifth (21%) are not interested in this activity. Those ages 18 to 34 (64%) and those with lower household incomes are more likely than others to express interest in educational programs focused on the local environment.

Between two-fifths and less than half of residents express interest in visiting undeveloped open space areas (47%), visiting demonstration gardens or farms (43%), and bird and wildlife watching (40%). Generally speaking, younger residents and those with children in the household are more likely to express interest in each of these activities.

AREA OF COUNTY MOST IN NEED OF ADDITIONAL OPEN SPACE OR LANDS THAT NEED TO BE PRESERVED									
Total Sample (n=410) Top 10 Unaided Responses									
SOUTH VALLEY	17%								
WESTSIDE/WEST MESA/PETROGLYPHS	14%								
THE BOSQUE/RIO GRANDE	13%								
SANDIA MOUNTAINS/FOOTHILLS	13%								
NORTH VALLEY	6%								
NORTHEAST	3%								
SOUTHEAST	3%								
EASTSIDE/EAST MOUNTAINS	3%								
NONE IN PARTICULAR	8%								
Don't know/won't say	25%								

County residents were informed that in 2014 Bernalillo County voters passed a mill levy that will raise approximately \$42 million in revenue over the next fifteen years. Residents were told that half of the money is to be used to purchase land within the County that can be used for open space, agriculture, and/or preservation purposes, while the other half will be used for maintenance and operations of these types of land. Residents were then asked, unaided, which area of the County they believe is most in need of additional open space or lands that need to be preserved.

Overall, 17% of respondents say the South Valley is most in need of additional open space or land to be preserved, while 14% say the Westside/West Mesa/Petroglyphs, and 13% each mention the Bosque/Rio Grande or the Sandia Mountains/Foothills. Notably, one-third of residents did not offer an opinion or did not mention a particular area of the County most in need of additional open space or lands that need to be preserved.

Not surprisingly, County residents are most apt to mention the area of the County they live in when asked which area of the County is in most need of additional open space or lands that need to be preserved. For example, 39% of Valley/Downtown residents say there should be additional open space or lands that need to be preserved in the South Valley, while 27% of Westside residents say additional open space or lands need to be preserved are on the Westside.

HIGHEST PRIORITY FOR USE OF THE MILL LEVY FUNDS (SUMMARY TABLE)

TOTAL SAMPLE (N=410)

RANKED BY HIGHEST PERCENTAGE "VERY HIGH PRIORITY"

	RANKED	BY HIGHEST PERCEN	TAGE "VERY HIGH PI	RIORITY"			
	VERY HIGH PRIORITY 5	4	3	2	VERY LOW PRIORITY 1	Don't Know/ Won't Say	Mean
PROTECTING THE WATER QUALITY OF RIVERS AND STREAMS	89%	6%	4%	*	*	*	4.8
PROTECTING THE BOSQUE AND OTHER LANDS ALONG THE RIO GRANDE	80%	14%	4%	1%	1%	*	4.7
PROTECTING THE HABITAT FOR WILDLIFE AND BIRDS	70%	20%	6%	1%	2%	1%	4.6
PROTECTING AGRICULTURAL LANDS AROUND THE RIO GRANDE FROM FUTURE DEVELOPMENT	56%	26%	12%	2%	4%	1%	4.3
PRESERVING LOCAL FARMS AND RANCHES	54%	24%	12%	5%	5%	1%	4.2
PRESERVING LANDS AND BUILDINGS THAT ARE OF HISTORICAL OR CULTURAL SIGNIFICANCE	47%	30%	16%	4%	2%	1%	4.2
PURCHASING FARMLANDS TO INCREASE LOCAL FOOD PRODUCTION	47%	22%	17%	5%	8%	1%	4.0
CREATING MORE OPEN SPACE AND RECREATION AREAS NEAR LOWER INCOME NEIGHBORHOODS	45%	26%	20%	4%	3%	1%	4.1
CREATING URBAN COMMUNITY GARDENS IN VACANT LOTS WITHIN THE CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE AND OTHER AREAS IN BERNALILLO COUNTY	41%	22%	20%	10%	6%	1%	3.8
CREATING MORE HIKING TRAILS IN THE FOOTHILLS	31%	24%	25%	12%	7%	1%	3.6
PURCHASING ADDITIONAL LANDS NEAR EXISTING OPEN-SPACE AREAS	29%	30%	23%	9%	7%	2%	3.7

^{*} LESS THAN 1% REPORTED.

Bernalillo County residents were asked, thinking of how the County should use the mill levy funds, to rate how high of a priority various items should be using a five-point scale where a score of five is very high priority and a score of one is very low priority. Interestingly, residents are most apt to say that items involving "protecting" or "preserving" lands in Bernalillo County are most important, whereas items that mention "creating" or "purchasing" lands are rated as lower priorities.

[†] The Mean score is derived by taking the average score based on the 5-point scale. The Very high priority response is assigned a value of 5; the Very low priority response is assigned a value of 1. The Don't Know/Won't Say responses are excluded from the calculation of the mean.

Given the fact that, unaided, four of the top ten responses as to what the biggest environmental issues are in Bernalillo County deal with water issues (see page 8), it is not surprising that 95% of residents rate **protecting the water quality of rivers and streams** (89% say *a very high priority*) and 94% rate **protecting the Bosque and other lands along the Rio Grande** (80% say *a very high priority*) as high priorities. Nine-in-ten residents also rate **protecting the habitat for wildlife and birds** as a high priority (70% *very high priority*).

Due to the high percentage of residents who rate each of these items as high priorities, there is little significant variation across demographic subgroups.

Just over four-fifths (82%) of residents rate **protecting agricultural lands around the Rio Grande** as a high priority, with the majority (56%) saying this is *very high priority*. Hispanics (65%), those in the Valley/Downtown region (65%), and those of lower socio-economic status are more likely than others to rate this is a *very high priority*.

Between approximately seven-in-ten and eight-in-ten residents rate the following items as high priorities:

- Preserving local farms and ranches (78%)
- Preserving lands and buildings that are of historic or cultural significance (77%)
- Creating more open space and recreation areas near lower income neighborhoods (71%)

Between approximately half and seven-in-ten residents rate each of the following as high priorities, while under one-fifth rate each as a low priority:

- Purchasing farmlands to increase local food production (69%)
- Creating urban community gardens in vacant lots within the City of Albuquerque and other areas in Bernalillo County (63%)
- Purchasing additional lands near existing open space areas (59%)
- Creating more hiking trails in the foothills (55%)

Generally speaking, Hispanics, women, younger residents, those of lower socio-economic status, and those with children in the household are more likely than others to rate each of the bulleted items mentioned above as high priorities.

It should also be noted that those living <u>outside</u> the Albuquerque City limits are more likely than others to rate purchasing additional lands near existing open space areas (44%) and creating more hiking trails in the foothills (49%) as *very high priorities*.

SINGLE HIGHEST PRIORITY FOR COUNTY OFFICIALS	
TOTAL SAMPLE (N=410)	
AIDED RESPONSES	
PROTECTING THE WATER QUALITY OF RIVERS AND STREAMS	49%
PROTECTING THE BOSQUE AND OTHER LANDS ALONG THE RIO GRANDE	12%
PROTECTING THE HABITAT FOR WILDLIFE AND BIRDS	8%
PRESERVING LOCAL FARMS AND RANCHES	6%
CREATING MORE OPEN SPACE AND RECREATION AREAS NEAR LOWER INCOME NEIGHBORHOODS	5%
PROTECTING AGRICULTURAL LANDS AROUND THE RIO GRANDE FROM FUTURE DEVELOPMENT	4%
PURCHASING FARMLANDS TO INCREASE LOCAL FOOD PRODUCTION	4%
PRESERVING LANDS AND BUILDINGS THAT ARE OF HISTORICAL OR CULTURAL SIGNIFICANCE	4%
CREATING URBAN COMMUNITY GARDENS IN VACANT LOTS WITHIN THE CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE AND OTHER AREAS IN BERNALILLO COUNTY	3%
CREATING MORE HIKING TRAILS IN THE FOOTHILLS	1%
PURCHASING ADDITIONAL LANDS NEAR EXISTING OPEN SPACE AREAS	*
Don't know/won't say	3%

^{*} LESS THAN 1% REPORTED.

County residents were reminded of which items they rated as the highest priorities for the County when planning how to use funds generated from the mill levy and asked, in an aided manner, which item they believe should be the <u>single</u> highest priority for County officials.

Nearly half (49%) of residents say that **protecting the water quality of rivers and streams** should be the single highest priority for County officials. Residents of the Far Heights region (59%) and those earning \$80,000 or more (58%) are more likely than others to say this should be the single highest priority.

Twelve percent of residents say **protecting the Bosque and other lands around the Rio Grande** should be the single highest priority, with those living outside the Albuquerque City limits (20%) more apt than others to say this should be the single highest priority.

Eight percent of residents say protecting the habitat for wildlife and birds should be the single highest priority, followed by 6% who say preserving local farms and ranches, and 5% who say creating more open space and recreation areas near lower income neighborhoods.

CHOICE OF LAND TO PURCHASE TOTAL SAMPLE (N=410) AIDED RESPONSES			
	1 ST CHOICE	2 ND CHOICE	COMBINED 1 ST AND 2 ND CHOICE
ALONG THE RIVER IN THE NORTH VALLEY AREA	34%	25%	59%
AGRICULTURAL LANDS IN THE SOUTH VALLEY	26%	23%	49%
LAND IN THE FOOTHILLS AND EAST MOUNTAINS TO PROVIDE MORE FOOT AND BIKE PATHS	16%	19%	35%
CULTURAL AND HISTORICAL SITES	8%	10%	18%
IN THE SAND DUNE AREA IN THE WESTERN PART OF THE COUNTY	6%	9%	15%
SOMEWHERE ELSE	3%	3%	6%
None/Don't know/won't say	7%	12%	19%

Residents were reminded that half of the mill levy funds are to be used for purchasing lands, and then asked (aided), **thinking of the different areas in the County what their first choice would be if additional land was purchased.** Residents were then asked which of the options would be their second choice.

One-third (34%) of residents say their first choice would be to use the funds to purchase lands along the river in the North Valley, while one-quarter (26%) would most prefer agricultural lands in the South Valley. Sixteen percent say their first choice is to purchase land in the foothills and East Mountains to provide more foot and bike paths, 8% say cultural and historic sites, and 6% say in the sand dune area in the Western part of the County.

The order of the second choice responses mirrors the first. One-quarter of the respondents say their second choice is to purchase lands along the river in the North Valley area, 23% prefer agricultural lands in the South Valley, and one-fifth (19%) say land in the foothills and East Mountains is their second choice. One-in-ten say cultural or historic sites and 9% say in the sand dune area in the Western part of the County.

When taken together, nearly three-fifths of County residents say their first or second choice is to purchase land along the river in the North Valley area, while almost half say their first or second choice is to purchase agricultural lands in the South Valley.

Not surprisingly, residents would prefer that land is purchased in the area of the County in which they reside, as 50% of Westside residents say their first choice would be to purchase land along the river in the North Valley, 36% of Valley/Downtown residents would most prefer land to be purchased in the South Valley, and 25% of Far Heights and 29% of those living outside City limits would most prefer land to be purchased in the foothills and East Mountains.

OVERALL IMPORTANCE OF VARIOUS CONSIDERATIONS WHEN PURCHASING LAND (SUMMARY TABLE)

TOTAL SAMPLE (N=410)

	RANKED	BY HIGHEST PERCE	NTAGE "VERY IMPOR	RTANT			
	Very				NOT IMPORTANT		
	IMPORTANT				AT ALL	Don't Know/	
	5	4	3	2	1	Won't Say	MEAN
PURCHASING LAND THAT WILL BENEFIT THE GREATEST NUMBER OF PEOPLE	60%	21%	13%	3%	2%	1%	4.4
PURCHASING LAND THAT WILL DO THE MOST TO HELP							
ENCOURAGE HEALTHY ACTIVITIES AND PROMOTE COMMUNITY HEALTH	58%	25%	11%	3%	2%	1%	4.3
MAKING SURE IT IS EASILY ACCESSIBLE TO THE PUBLIC	58%	23%	14%	2%	2%	1%	4.3
PURCHASING LAND THAT IS THE MOST AT RISK FROM FUTURE DEVELOPMENT	42%	25%	19%	6%	5%	2%	3.9
PURCHASING LAND THAT IS NEXT TO EXISTING OPEN SPACE OR AGRICULTURAL AREAS	28%	27%	31%	7%	5%	1%	3.7


[†] The mean score is derived by taking the average score based on the 5-point scale. The Very Important response is assigned a value of 5; the Not Important At All response is assigned a value of 1. The Don't Know/Won't Say responses are excluded from the calculation of the mean.

County residents were asked to rate the importance of considerations for the County when making decisions about which lands to purchase over the next fifteen years, using a five-point scale where a score of five is *very important* and a score of one is *not important* at all.

Over eight-in-ten residents say purchasing land that will do the most to encourage healthy activities and promote community health (83%), purchasing land that will benefit the greatest number of people (81%), and making sure it is easily accessible to the public (81%) should be important considerations for County officials. Of note, approximately six-in-ten residents rated each of these considerations as *very important* for County officials. Due to the high percentage of residents who rate each of these items as important, there is little significant demographic variation.

Two-thirds of residents rate **purchasing land that is the most at risk from future development** as important (42% *very important*). Those of lower socioeconomic status are more likely than others to say this is *very important*.

The majority (55%) of residents say purchasing land that is next to existing open space or agricultural land should be an important consideration for County officials, with 28% saying this is very important. Older residents and those of lower socio-economic status are more likely than others to say this is important.




County residents were informed that, when purchasing lands, the County may have to make some trade-offs. Residents were **then asked if they prefer the**County purchase smaller, but more expensive land in environmentally sensitive areas <u>or</u> if the county should purchase large and less expensive areas of land in less environmentally sensitive areas.

Just over half (52%) of residents say the County should purchase smaller, but more expensive land in environmentally sensitive areas, while 38% say the County should purchase large and less expensive areas of land in less environmentally sensitive areas.

Residents who are more likely to prefer the County purchase smaller, but more expensive land in environmentally sensitive areas include Anglos (60%), those without children in the household (61%), older residents, and those with higher education attainment levels.

Residents more likely to prefer the County purchase large and less expensive areas of land in less environmentally sensitive areas include those in the Valley/Downtown area (45%), Hispanics (46%), those with children in the household (50%), and those with lower education attainment levels.



BIGGEST ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES FACING BERNALILLO COUNTY

TOTAL SAMPLE (N=410)

QUESTION 1: WHAT DO YOU THINK ARE THE BIGGEST ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES FACING BERNALILLO COUNTY?

270/	D	¥
		T-
		*
	UPDATE FIRST RESPONDERS MAP	*
8%	LACK OF ACCESS FOR HANDICAP AND WHEELCHAIR	*
8%	Pot holes in roads	*
4%	STREETS NEED TO BE CLEANED	*
3%	WATER BEING WASTED	*
3%	KEEPING THE RIVER CLEAN	*
2%	POOR MAN HOLES	*
2%	TRAIN TRACKS ARE NOT LEVELED	*
2%	REGULATIONS	*
1%	ILLEGAL DRUGS	*
1%	PAY EXTRA ON WATER BILL FOR RECYCLING BIN	*
1%	HOMELESS	*
1%	TOO MANY ABANDONED BUILDINGS	*
1%	BOSQUE: OVER DEVELOPMENT OF	*
1%	WILDLIFE HABITAT: LOSS OF	*
1%	TOO MANY PEOPLE	*
1%	SAFE BIKE ROUTES	*
*	OPEN SPACE AREAS: LACK OF	*
*	PNM NEW DIGITAL METER	*
*	Urban sprawl	*
*	FUTURE SUSTAINABLE WATER	*
*		
*	NOTHING IN PARTICULAR	10%
*	Don't know/won't say	21%
*		
	4% 3% 3% 2% 2% 2% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1%	16% ROADS AND SIDEWALKS 14% UPDATE FIRST RESPONDERS MAP 8% LACK OF ACCESS FOR HANDICAP AND WHEELCHAIR 8% POT HOLES IN ROADS 4% STREETS NEED TO BE CLEANED 3% WATER BEING WASTED 3% KEEPING THE RIVER CLEAN 2% POOR MAN HOLES 2% TRAIN TRACKS ARE NOT LEVELED 2% REGULATIONS 1% ILLEGAL DRUGS 1% PAY EXTRA ON WATER BILL FOR RECYCLING BIN 1% HOMELESS 1% TOO MANY ABANDONED BUILDINGS 1% BOSQUE: OVER DEVELOPMENT OF 1% WILDLIFE HABITAT: LOSS OF 1% TOO MANY PEOPLE 1% SAFE BIKE ROUTES * OPEN SPACE AREAS: LACK OF * PNM NEW DIGITAL METER * URBAN SPRAWL * FUTURE SUSTAINABLE WATER

^{*} LESS THAN 1% REPORTED.

OVERALL INTEREST IN VARIOUS ACTIVITIES: WALKING, RUNNING, OR BIKING IN AREAS AROUND THE RIO GRANDE

QUESTION 2: I WOULD LIKE TO READ YOU A LIST OF DIFFERENT ACTIVITIES AND ASK YOU TO RATE YOUR LEVEL OF INTEREST IN EACH ONE USING A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 IS VERY INTERESTED AND 1 IS NOT INTERESTED AT ALL: WALKING, RUNNING, OR BIKING IN AREAS AROUND THE RIO GRANDE

	TOTAL		REGION					LIVE WITHIN ABQ CITY LIMITS GENDER			ETHNICITY		Age			
	SAMPLE (N=410)	VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	WESTSIDE	MID- HEIGHTS	FAR HEIGHTS	OTHER	YES	No	MALE	FEMALE	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 YEARS OR OLDER
5 - Very interested	44%	57%	62%	34%	34%	3%	44%	42%	48%	40%	54%	35%	47%	53%	44%	25%
4	14%	10%	11%	21%	14%	23%	15%	12%	14%	15%	14%	14%	15%	10%	17%	16%
3	20%	17%	19%	17%	22%	34%	19%	24%	16%	23%	15%	24%	24%	23%	15%	13%
2	6%	4%	2%	6%	10%	18%	7%	4%	5%	8%	5%	6%	4%	5%	8%	9%
1 - NOT INTERESTED AT ALL	15%	11%	7%	20%	18%	22%	14%	18%	16%	13%	10%	20%	7%	8%	15%	37%
Don't know/won't say	1%	1%	-	2%	*	-	1%	-	*	2%	1%	1%	3%	-	1%	-
Mean †	3.7	4.0	4.2	3.4	3.4	2.7	3.7	3.5	3.7	3.6	4.0	3.4	3.9	4.0	3.7	2.8

			Ho	JSEHOLD INCO	OME			EDUC		CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD		
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=410)	LESS THAN \$20,000	\$20,000 TO \$39,999	\$40,000 TO \$59,999	\$60,000 TO \$79,999	\$80,000 And Over	H.S. GRADUATE OR LESS	SOME COLLEGE/ ASSOCIATE	COLLEGE GRADUATE	GRADUATE DEGREE	YES	No
5 - Very interested	44%	23%	40%	56%	46%	51%	33%	51%	42%	51%	53%	38%
4	14%	23%	9%	14%	11%	16%	13%	13%	18%	16%	14%	15%
3	20%	25%	24%	14%	20%	17%	25%	16%	18%	18%	18%	20%
2	6%	4%	5%	11%	9%	6%	4%	8%	5%	10%	5%	8%
1 - NOT INTERESTED AT ALL	15%	19%	22%	5%	13%	10%	25%	10%	15%	5%	9%	19%
Don't know/won't say	1%	6%	-	-	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%
Mean †	3.7	3.3	3.4	4.1	3.7	3.9	3.3	3.9	3.7	4.0	4.0	3.4

^{*} LESS THAN 1% REPORTED.

[†] The Mean score is derived by taking the average score based on the 5-point scale. The Very interested response is assigned a value of 5; the Not at all interested response is assigned a value of 1. The Don't Know/Won't Say responses are excluded from the calculation of the Mean.

OVERALL INTEREST IN VARIOUS ACTIVITIES: VISITING DEMONSTRATION GARDENS OR FARMS

QUESTION 3: I WOULD LIKE TO READ YOU A LIST OF DIFFERENT ACTIVITIES AND ASK YOU TO RATE YOUR LEVEL OF INTEREST IN EACH ONE USING A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 IS VERY INTERESTED AND 1 IS NOT INTERESTED AT ALL: VISITING DEMONSTRATION GARDENS OR FARMS

	TOTAL		Region					LIVE WITHIN ABQ CITY LIMITS GENDER			Етнисту		Age			
	SAMPLE (N=410)	VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	WESTSIDE	MID- HEIGHTS	FAR HEIGHTS	OTHER	YES	No	MALE	FEMALE	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 YEARS OR OLDER
5 - VERY INTERESTED	22%	26%	22%	24%	17%	22%	23%	20%	21%	24%	26%	18%	28%	24%	21%	12%
4	21%	21%	30%	16%	20%	17%	21%	21%	20%	22%	22%	19%	20%	22%	24%	19%
3	23%	24%	25%	14%	27%	36%	23%	24%	22%	25%	22%	26%	23%	22%	24%	23%
2	15%	15%	11%	20%	13%	17%	14%	21%	18%	13%	16%	13%	16%	16%	11%	18%
1 - NOT INTERESTED AT ALL	17%	13%	13%	22%	21%	8%	18%	13%	20%	15%	14%	22%	10%	15%	19%	29%
Don't know/won't say	1%	1%	-	3%	2%	-	1%	2%	-	3%	1%	3%	2%	1%	1%	-
Mean †	3.2	3.3	3.4	3.0	3.0	3.3	3.2	3.1	3.0	3.3	3.3	3.0	3.4	3.2	3.2	2.7

			Ho	JSEHOLD INCO	OME			Educ	ATION		CHILDREN IN	Household
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=410)	LESS THAN \$20,000	\$20,000 TO \$39,999	\$40,000 TO \$59,999	\$60,000 TO \$79,999	\$80,000 AND OVER	H.S. GRADUATE OR LESS	SOME COLLEGE/ ASSOCIATE	COLLEGE GRADUATE	GRADUATE DEGREE	YES	No
5 - Very interested	22%	24%	24%	28%	20%	13%	18%	30%	20%	15%	23%	21%
4	21%	26%	22%	22%	22%	17%	18%	21%	19%	32%	20%	22%
3	23%	22%	16%	19%	27%	35%	20%	23%	28%	26%	26%	21%
2	15%	5%	13%	22%	16%	18%	18%	12%	16%	13%	12%	17%
1 - NOT INTERESTED AT ALL	17%	19%	23%	8%	15%	16%	27%	12%	15%	12%	16%	18%
Don't know/won't say	1%	3%	1%	1%	-	2%	-	2%	1%	2%	3%	*
Mean †	3.2	3.3	3.1	3.4	3.2	2.9	2.8	3.4	3.1	3.3	3.2	3.1

^{*} LESS THAN 1% REPORTED.

[†] The mean score is derived by taking the average score based on the 5-point scale. The Very interested response is assigned a value of 5; the Not at all interested response is assigned a value of 1. The Don't Know/Won't Say responses are excluded from the calculation of the mean.

OVERALL INTEREST IN VARIOUS ACTIVITIES: WALKING, RUNNING, OR BIKING IN THE SANDIAS

QUESTION 4: I WOULD LIKE TO READ YOU A LIST OF DIFFERENT ACTIVITIES AND ASK YOU TO RATE YOUR LEVEL OF INTEREST IN EACH ONE USING A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 IS VERY INTERESTED AND 1 IS NOT INTERESTED AT ALL: WALKING, RUNNING, OR BIKING IN THE SANDIAS

	TOTAL			REGION				VITHIN TY LIMITS	GE	NDER	Етни	ICITY		А	GE	
	SAMPLE (N=410)	VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	WESTSIDE	MID- HEIGHTS	FAR HEIGHTS	OTHER	YES	No	MALE	FEMALE	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 YEARS OR OLDER
5 - VERY INTERESTED	41%	36%	42%	38%	45%	49%	40%	45%	44%	37%	43%	38%	52%	57%	27%	18%
4	19%	17%	18%	22%	19%	18%	20%	14%	19%	19%	19%	17%	24%	14%	23%	12%
3	17%	21%	15%	19%	12%	14%	17%	14%	15%	19%	18%	17%	13%	18%	20%	16%
2	9%	8%	13%	6%	8%	16%	9%	9%	9%	9%	10%	9%	5%	6%	13%	13%
1 - NOT INTERESTED AT ALL	14%	15%	12%	16%	16%	-	14%	17%	14%	15%	10%	19%	6%	3%	17%	41%
Don't know/won't say	1%	2%	-	-	-	3%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	-	-
Mean †	3.6	3.5	3.7	3.6	3.7	4.0	3.6	3.6	3.7	3.6	3.7	3.5	4.1	4.2	3.3	2.5

			Ho	USEHOLD INCO	ОМЕ			Educ	ATION		CHILDREN IN	Household
	TOTAL SAMPLE	LESS THAN	\$20,000 TO	\$40,000 TO	\$60,000 TO	\$80,000	H.S. GRADUATE	SOME COLLEGE/	COLLEGE	GRADUATE		
	(N=410)	\$20,000	\$39,999	\$59,999	\$79,999	AND OVER	OR LESS	ASSOCIATE	GRADUATE	DEGREE	YES	No
5 - Very interested	41%	29%	42%	47%	44%	42%	32%	47%	45%	41%	54%	33%
4	19%	28%	17%	12%	22%	20%	17%	17%	22%	24%	18%	19%
3	17%	11%	14%	27%	10%	16%	19%	11%	16%	21%	17%	17%
2	9%	7%	9%	9%	9%	11%	7%	11%	9%	8%	5%	11%
1 - NOT INTERESTED AT ALL	14%	21%	17%	4%	15%	11%	23%	14%	8%	6%	6%	20%
Don't know/won't say	1%	3%	1%	-	-	-	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%
Mean †	3.6	3.4	3.6	3.9	3.7	3.7	3.3	3.7	3.9	3.9	4.1	3.3

[†] The mean score is derived by taking the average score based on the 5-point scale. The Very interested response is assigned a value of 5; the Not at all interested response is assigned a value of 1. The Don't Know/Won't Say responses are excluded from the calculation of the mean.

OVERALL INTEREST IN VARIOUS ACTIVITIES: BIRD AND WILDLIFE WATCHING

QUESTION 5: I WOULD LIKE TO READ YOU A LIST OF DIFFERENT ACTIVITIES AND ASK YOU TO RATE YOUR LEVEL OF INTEREST IN EACH ONE USING A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 IS VERY INTERESTED AND 1 IS NOT INTERESTED AT ALL: BIRD AND WILDLIFE WATCHING

	TOTAL			REGION				VITHIN TY LIMITS	GE	IDER	Етни	ICITY		А	GE	
	SAMPLE (N=410)	VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	WESTSIDE	MID- HEIGHTS	FAR HEIGHTS	OTHER	YES	No	MALE	FEMALE	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 YEARS OR OLDER
5 - VERY INTERESTED	26%	31%	31%	20%	23%	23%	25%	29%	27%	25%	30%	24%	22%	27%	28%	28%
4	14%	17%	7%	19%	12%	17%	14%	15%	13%	15%	13%	15%	12%	16%	16%	12%
3	21%	17%	23%	25%	21%	8%	21%	20%	17%	24%	17%	22%	26%	19%	23%	10%
2	18%	16%	15%	18%	21%	36%	17%	25%	20%	17%	18%	20%	21%	17%	15%	20%
1 - NOT INTERESTED AT ALL	20%	18%	23%	18%	24%	17%	22%	11%	22%	18%	22%	20%	17%	20%	18%	29%
Don't know/won't say	*	1%	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	1%	1%	-	1%	-	-	-
Mean †	3.1	3.3	3.1	3.0	2.9	2.9	3.0	3.3	3.0	3.1	3.1	3.0	3.0	3.1	3.2	2.9

			Ho	JSEHOLD INCO	OME			Educ	ATION		CHILDREN IN	HOUSEHOLD
	TOTAL SAMPLE	LESS THAN	\$20,000 TO	\$40,000 TO	\$60,000 TO	\$80,000	H.S. GRADUATE	SOME COLLEGE/	COLLEGE	GRADUATE		
	(N=410)	\$20,000	\$39,999	\$59,999	\$79,999	AND OVER	OR LESS	ASSOCIATE	GRADUATE	DEGREE	YES	No
5 - Very interested	26%	38%	23%	21%	23%	21%	28%	29%	24%	17%	22%	28%
4	14%	14%	21%	15%	11%	11%	15%	12%	16%	12%	14%	14%
3	21%	19%	22%	20%	17%	25%	8%	28%	19%	32%	26%	18%
2	18%	8%	10%	31%	25%	23%	18%	16%	24%	20%	18%	19%
1 - NOT INTERESTED AT ALL	20%	17%	24%	13%	23%	20%	30%	15%	16%	19%	20%	20%
Don't know/won't say	*	3%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	1%
Mean †	3.1	3.5	3.1	3.0	2.9	2.9	2.9	3.2	3.1	2.9	3.0	3.1

^{*} LESS THAN 1% REPORTED.

[†] The mean score is derived by taking the average score based on the 5-point scale. The Very interested response is assigned a value of 5; the Not at all interested response is assigned a value of 1. The Don't Know/Won't Say responses are excluded from the calculation of the mean.

Overall Interest in Various Activities: EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS FOCUSED ON THE LOCAL ENVIRONMENT

QUESTION 6: I WOULD LIKE TO READ YOU A LIST OF DIFFERENT ACTIVITIES AND ASK YOU TO RATE YOUR LEVEL OF INTEREST IN EACH ONE USING A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 IS VERY INTERESTED AND 1 IS NOT INTERESTED AT ALL: EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS FOCUSED ON THE LOCAL ENVIRONMENT

	TOTAL			REGION				VITHIN TY LIMITS	GE	NDER	Етни	IICITY		А	GE	
	SAMPLE (N=410)	VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	WESTSIDE	MID- HEIGHTS	FAR HEIGHTS	OTHER	YES	No	MALE	FEMALE	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 YEARS OR OLDER
5 - Very interested	36%	38%	36%	42%	30%	32%	37%	32%	32%	40%	43%	27%	45%	35%	27%	37%
4	20%	23%	20%	14%	22%	19%	19%	24%	17%	23%	16%	25%	19%	25%	19%	16%
3	21%	21%	21%	16%	24%	24%	20%	26%	22%	20%	17%	24%	17%	18%	29%	20%
2	11%	9%	11%	13%	14%	4%	13%	4%	13%	10%	12%	11%	8%	15%	16%	6%
1 - NOT INTERESTED AT ALL	10%	8%	13%	13%	7%	22%	9%	14%	14%	6%	10%	13%	8%	8%	9%	18%
Don't know/won't say	1%	1%	-	2%	2%	-	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	-	1%	3%
Mean †	3.6	3.7	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.3	3.6	3.6	3.4	3.8	3.7	3.4	3.9	3.6	3.4	3.5

			Ho	JSEHOLD INCO	OME			Educ	ATION		CHILDREN IN	Household
	TOTAL		\$20,000	\$40,000	\$60,000		H.S.	Some				
	SAMPLE (N=410)	\$20,000	то \$39,999	то \$59,999	то \$ 79,999	\$80,000 And Over	GRADUATE OR LESS	COLLEGE/ ASSOCIATE	COLLEGE GRADUATE	GRADUATE DEGREE	YES	No
5 - Very INTERESTED	36%	46%	45%	35%	32%	26%	31%	43%	39%	25%	37%	34%
4	20%	21%	17%	28%	13%	24%	19%	15%	24%	26%	21%	20%
3	21%	12%	16%	14%	31%	29%	18%	23%	18%	28%	17%	24%
2	11%	12%	9%	16%	15%	11%	14%	11%	8%	13%	13%	10%
1 - Not interested at all	10%	9%	12%	6%	10%	8%	16%	7%	10%	6%	10%	11%
Don't know/won't say	1%	-	2%	-	-	3%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%
Mean †	3.6	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.4	3.5	3.4	3.8	3.8	3.5	3.6	3.6

[†] The mean score is derived by taking the average score based on the 5-point scale. The Very interested response is assigned a value of 5; the Not at all interested response is assigned a value of 1. The Don't Know/Won't Say responses are excluded from the calculation of the mean.

OVERALL INTEREST IN VARIOUS ACTIVITIES: VISITING PUBLIC PARKS

QUESTION 7: I WOULD LIKE TO READ YOU A LIST OF DIFFERENT ACTIVITIES AND ASK YOU TO RATE YOUR LEVEL OF INTEREST IN EACH ONE USING A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 IS VERY INTERESTED AND 1 IS NOT INTERESTED AT ALL: VISITING PUBLIC PARKS

	TOTAL			REGION				VITHIN TY LIMITS	GEN	NDER	Етни	IICITY		A	GE	
	SAMPLE (N=410)	VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	WESTSIDE	MID- HEIGHTS	FAR HEIGHTS	OTHER	YES	No	MALE	FEMALE	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 YEARS OR OLDER
5 - VERY INTERESTED	59%	62%	66%	59%	55%	37%	60%	57%	51%	67%	66%	53%	73%	64%	41%	52%
4	21%	18%	22%	20%	25%	23%	22%	16%	24%	19%	17%	24%	18%	21%	32%	12%
3	12%	8%	11%	15%	12%	27%	13%	10%	14%	10%	11%	13%	6%	10%	17%	18%
2	4%	4%	1%	4%	4%	10%	3%	7%	5%	3%	2%	5%	2%	4%	4%	7%
1 - NOT INTERESTED AT ALL	4%	7%	-	2%	5%	4%	3%	10%	6%	2%	3%	5%	1%	-	6%	11%
Mean †	4.3	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.2	3.8	4.3	4.0	4.1	4.5	4.4	4.1	4.6	4.4	4.0	3.9

			Ho	USEHOLD INCO	OME			Educ	ATION		CHILDREN IN	Household
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=410)	LESS THAN \$20,000	\$20,000 TO \$39,999	\$40,000 TO \$59,999	\$60,000 TO \$79,999	\$80,000 And Over	H.S. GRADUATE OR LESS	SOME COLLEGE/ ASSOCIATE	COLLEGE GRADUATE	GRADUATE DEGREE	YES	No
5 - Very interested	59%	69%	65%	62%	72%	46%	63%	62%	54%	52%	70%	51%
4	21%	14%	13%	24%	18%	31%	13%	21%	26%	30%	20%	22%
3	12%	3%	10%	13%	9%	17%	13%	11%	12%	14%	7%	15%
2	4%	3%	7%	-	1%	3%	5%	3%	5%	3%	1%	6%
1 - NOT INTERESTED AT ALL	4%	10%	4%	1%	-	3%	7%	3%	3%	1%	1%	6%
Mean †	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.1	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.6	4.1

[†] The mean score is derived by taking the average score based on the 5-point scale. The Very interested response is assigned a value of 5; the Not at all interested response is assigned a value of 1. The Don't Know/Won't Say responses are excluded from the calculation of the mean.

OVERALL INTEREST IN VARIOUS ACTIVITIES: VISITING CULTURAL OR HISTORICAL SITES

QUESTION 8: I WOULD LIKE TO READ YOU A LIST OF DIFFERENT ACTIVITIES AND ASK YOU TO RATE YOUR LEVEL OF INTEREST IN EACH ONE USING A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 IS VERY INTERESTED AND 1 IS NOT INTERESTED AT ALL: VISITING CULTURAL OR HISTORICAL SITES

	TOTAL			REGION				VITHIN TY LIMITS	GEN	IDER	Етни	IICITY		А	GE	
	SAMPLE (N=410)	VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	WESTSIDE	MID- HEIGHTS	FAR HEIGHTS	OTHER	YES	No	MALE	FEMALE	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 YEARS OR OLDER
5 - VERY INTERESTED	47%	48%	52%	52%	40%	38%	47%	47%	42%	53%	51%	42%	51%	52%	38%	49%
4	24%	19%	30%	23%	29%	8%	26%	17%	23%	26%	22%	27%	25%	21%	30%	20%
3	20%	24%	15%	16%	21%	36%	19%	25%	26%	15%	20%	23%	16%	23%	22%	21%
2	5%	3%	3%	4%	7%	14%	4%	5%	5%	4%	4%	4%	6%	3%	6%	2%
1 - NOT INTERESTED AT ALL	3%	5%	-	4%	3%	4%	3%	6%	5%	2%	3%	4%	2%	1%	5%	8%
Mean †	4.1	4.0	4.3	4.2	4.0	3.6	4.1	3.9	3.9	4.2	4.1	4.0	4.2	4.2	3.9	4.0

			Hou	JSEHOLD INCO	OME			Educ	ATION		CHILDREN IN	Household
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=410)	LESS THAN \$20,000	\$20,000 TO \$39,999	\$40,000 TO \$59,999	\$60,000 TO \$79,999	\$80,000 And Over	H.S. GRADUATE OR LESS	SOME COLLEGE/ ASSOCIATE	COLLEGE GRADUATE	GRADUATE DEGREE	YES	No
5 - Very interested	47%	52%	57%	45%	42%	40%	41%	49%	48%	51%	50%	45%
4	24%	12%	20%	31%	32%	33%	19%	27%	26%	31%	25%	24%
3	20%	23%	16%	18%	25%	23%	28%	18%	19%	14%	17%	23%
2	5%	8%	1%	5%	1%	2%	8%	2%	4%	1%	5%	4%
1 - NOT INTERESTED AT ALL	3%	5%	6%	1%	-	1%	4%	4%	3%	2%	2%	4%
Mean †	4.1	4.0	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.1	3.9	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.0

[†] The mean score is derived by taking the average score based on the 5-point scale. The Very interested response is assigned a value of 5; the Not at all interested response is assigned a value of 1. The Don't Know/Won't Say responses are excluded from the calculation of the mean.

OVERALL INTEREST IN VARIOUS ACTIVITIES: VISITING UNDEVELOPED OPEN SPACE AREAS

QUESTION 9: I WOULD LIKE TO READ YOU A LIST OF DIFFERENT ACTIVITIES AND ASK YOU TO RATE YOUR LEVEL OF INTEREST IN EACH ONE USING A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 IS VERY INTERESTED AND 1 IS NOT INTERESTED AT ALL: VISITING UNDEVELOPED OPEN SPACE AREAS

	TOTAL			REGION				VITHIN TY LIMITS	GE	IDER	Етни	ICITY		A	GE	
	SAMPLE (N=410)	VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	WESTSIDE	MID- HEIGHTS	FAR HEIGHTS	OTHER	YES	No	MALE	FEMALE	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 YEARS OR OLDER
5 - VERY INTERESTED	29%	26%	29%	26%	33%	41%	27%	38%	32%	26%	28%	29%	27%	45%	21%	20%
4	18%	24%	17%	17%	13%	32%	18%	23%	18%	19%	22%	16%	24%	17%	19%	11%
3	23%	22%	29%	21%	21%	17%	24%	19%	21%	24%	23%	23%	21%	13%	30%	29%
2	12%	13%	7%	15%	13%	6%	13%	9%	17%	8%	11%	14%	15%	10%	13%	10%
1 - NOT INTERESTED AT ALL	17%	16%	17%	19%	19%	5%	18%	12%	12%	22%	14%	18%	11%	14%	18%	30%
Don't know/won't say	1%	-	-	2%	1%	-	1%	-	1%	*	1%	-	2%	1%	-	-
Mean †	3.3	3.3	3.4	3.2	3.3	4.0	3.2	3.7	3.4	3.2	3.4	3.2	3.4	3.7	3.1	2.8

			Ho	JSEHOLD INCO	OME			Educ	ATION		CHILDREN IN	HOUSEHOLD
	TOTAL SAMPLE	LESS THAN	\$20,000 TO	\$40,000 TO	\$60,000 TO	\$80,000	H.S. GRADUATE	SOME COLLEGE/	COLLEGE	GRADUATE		
	(N=410)	\$20,000	\$39,999	\$59,999	\$79,999	AND OVER	OR LESS	ASSOCIATE	GRADUATE	DEGREE	YES	No
5 - Very interested	29%	25%	33%	32%	25%	26%	26%	32%	24%	36%	39%	22%
4	18%	14%	16%	29%	27%	17%	14%	22%	22%	16%	17%	20%
3	23%	24%	18%	17%	28%	26%	19%	22%	26%	28%	15%	28%
2	12%	13%	17%	11%	12%	10%	20%	12%	7%	4%	11%	13%
1 - NOT INTERESTED AT ALL	17%	24%	16%	11%	8%	18%	20%	13%	21%	15%	16%	18%
Don't know/won't say	1%	-	-	-	-	2%	2%	-	-	1%	2%	-
Mean †	3.3	3.0	3.3	3.6	3.5	3.2	3.1	3.5	3.2	3.5	3.5	3.1

^{*} LESS THAN 1% REPORTED.

[†] The mean score is derived by taking the average score based on the 5-point scale. The Very interested response is assigned a value of 5; the Not at all interested response is assigned a value of 1. The Don't Know/Won't Say responses are excluded from the calculation of the mean.

AREA OF COUNTY MOST IN NEED OF ADDITIONAL OPEN SPACE OR LANDS THAT NEED TO BE PRESERVED

TOTAL SAMPLE (N=410)

QUESTION 10: IN 2014, VOTERS IN BERNALILLO COUNTY PASSED A MILL LEVY THAT WILL RAISE APPROXIMATELY 42 MILLION DOLLARS IN REVENUE OVER THE NEXT 15 YEARS. APPROXIMATELY HALF OF THE MONEY IS TO BE USED TO PURCHASE LAND WITHIN THE COUNTY THAT CAN BE USED FOR OPEN SPACE, AGRICULTURE AND/OR PRESERVATION PURPOSES, WHILE THE OTHER HALF WILL BE USED FOR MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS OF THESE TYPES OF LANDS. WHAT AREA OF THE COUNTY DO YOU BELIEVE IS MOST IN NEED OF ADDITIONAL OPEN SPACE OR LANDS THAT NEED TO BE PRESERVED?

South Valley	17%
WESTSIDE/WEST MESA/PETROGLYPHS	14%
The Bosque/Rio Grande	13%
Sandia Mountains/foothills	13%
North Valley	6%
Northeast	3%
SOUTH EAST	3%
EASTSIDE/EAST MOUNTAINS	3%
DOWNTOWN AREA	1%
CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE	1%
ALAMEDA AREA NEAR BALLOON FIESTA PARK	1%
PINEHILL AND COORS - SOUTHWEST OF MONTANO	1%
PLACITAS AREA	*
ANY AREA WITH OPEN SPACE	*
FARMLANDS	*
Southside	*
RESERVATION	*
NEAR COORS AND GONZALEZ	*
BORDER AREA OF THE COUNTY	*
None in particular Don't know/won't say	8% 25%

^{*} LESS THAN 1% REPORTED.

HIGHEST PRIORITY FOR USE OF THE MILL LEVY FUNDS: PRESERVING LOCAL FARMS AND RANCHES

QUESTION 11: THINKING ABOUT HOW THE COUNTY SHOULD USE THE MILL LEVY FUNDS, PLEASE RATE HOW MUCH OF A PRIORITY EACH OF THE FOLLOWING SHOULD BE USING A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 IS A VERY HIGH PRIORITY AND 1 IS VERY LOW PRIORITY: PRESERVING LOCAL FARMS AND RANCHES

	TOTAL			REGION				VITHIN TY LIMITS	GEN	NDER	Етни	ICITY		A	GE	
	SAMPLE (N=410)	VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	WESTSIDE	MID- HEIGHTS	FAR HEIGHTS	OTHER	YES	No	MALE	FEMALE	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 YEARS OR OLDER
5 - VERY HIGH PRIORITY	54%	62%	63%	45%	46%	61%	54%	54%	44%	63%	59%	50%	59%	58%	50%	45%
4	24%	24%	14%	30%	26%	9%	25%	17%	27%	20%	23%	24%	27%	20%	25%	23%
3	12%	7%	11%	16%	13%	18%	12%	13%	13%	11%	12%	11%	7%	12%	15%	16%
2	5%	5%	5%	2%	8%	-	5%	6%	7%	3%	2%	8%	2%	7%	7%	4%
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	5%	2%	6%	4%	6%	13%	4%	10%	8%	1%	3%	6%	4%	3%	3%	11%
Don't know/won't say	1%	-	1%	2%	1%	-	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	-	1%	1%
Mean †	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.2	4.0	3.9	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.1	3.9

			Но	USEHOLD INCO	OME			Educ	ATION		CHILDREN IN	Household
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=410)	LESS THAN \$20,000	\$20,000 TO \$39,999	\$40,000 TO \$59,999	\$60,000 TO \$79,999	\$80,000 And Over	H.S. GRADUATE OR LESS	SOME COLLEGE/ ASSOCIATE	COLLEGE GRADUATE	GRADUATE DEGREE	YES	No
5 - VERY HIGH PRIORITY	54%	65%	69%	55%	53%	31%	56%	64%	44%	40%	57%	51%
4	24%	25%	19%	29%	19%	31%	22%	24%	19%	32%	27%	21%
3	12%	6%	7%	10%	14%	20%	11%	6%	21%	16%	7%	16%
2	5%	2%	3%	4%	2%	8%	5%	4%	6%	6%	3%	6%
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	5%	1%	1%	2%	9%	8%	5%	2%	9%	5%	4%	5%
Don't know/won't say	1%	1%	-	-	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	-	2%	*
Mean †	4.2	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.1	3.7	4.2	4.4	3.8	4.0	4.3	4.1

^{*} LESS THAN 1% REPORTED.

[†] The mean score is derived by taking the average score based on the 5-point scale. The Very high priority response is assigned a value of 5; the Very low priority response is assigned a value of 1. The Don't Know/Won't Say responses are excluded from the calculation of the mean.

HIGHEST PRIORITY FOR USE OF THE MILL LEVY FUNDS: PROTECTING THE WATER QUALITY OF RIVERS AND STREAMS

QUESTION 12: THINKING ABOUT HOW THE COUNTY SHOULD USE THE MILL LEVY FUNDS, PLEASE RATE HOW MUCH OF A PRIORITY EACH OF THE FOLLOWING SHOULD BE USING A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 IS A VERY HIGH PRIORITY AND 1 IS VERY LOW PRIORITY: PROTECTING THE WATER QUALITY OF RIVERS AND STREAMS

	TOTAL			REGION				VITHIN TY LIMITS	GE	NDER	Етни	ICITY		А	GE	
	SAMPLE (N=410)	VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	WESTSIDE	MID- HEIGHTS	FAR HEIGHTS	OTHER	YES	No	MALE	FEMALE	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 YEARS OR OLDER
5 - VERY HIGH PRIORITY	89%	92%	91%	86%	88%	86%	88%	94%	87%	91%	91%	89%	84%	94%	90%	89%
4	6%	4%	7%	8%	8%	-	7%	1%	8%	5%	5%	8%	10%	4%	6%	5%
3	4%	4%	2%	4%	2%	14%	3%	5%	4%	4%	3%	2%	5%	2%	3%	4%
2	*	-	-	-	1%	-	*	-	*	-	-	*	-	-	1%	-
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	*	-	-	-	1%	-	*	-	1%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	2%
Don't know/won't say	*	-	-	2%	-	-	1%	-	1%	-	1%	-	2%	-	-	-
Mean †	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.8

			Ho	USEHOLD INCO	OME			EDUC	ATION		CHILDREN IN	Household
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=410)	LESS THAN \$20,000	\$20,000 TO \$39,999	\$40,000 TO \$59,999	\$60,000 TO \$79,999	\$80,000 And Over	H.S. GRADUATE OR LESS	SOME COLLEGE/ ASSOCIATE	COLLEGE GRADUATE	GRADUATE DEGREE	YES	No
5 - VERY HIGH PRIORITY	89%	86%	94%	93%	87%	87%	87%	93%	82%	92%	91%	87%
4	6%	9%	5%	2%	8%	8%	5%	6%	10%	5%	6%	7%
3	4%	5%	2%	5%	5%	1%	5%	1%	7%	2%	2%	5%
2	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	1%	-	*
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	*	-	-	-	-	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	1%
Don't know/won't say	*	-	-	-	-	2%	2%	-	-	-	1%	-
Mean †	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.7	4.9	4.9	4.8

^{*} LESS THAN 1% REPORTED.

[†] The mean score is derived by taking the average score based on the 5-point scale. The Very high priority response is assigned a value of 5; the Very low priority response is assigned a value of 1. The Don't Know/Won't Say responses are excluded from the calculation of the mean.

HIGHEST PRIORITY FOR USE OF THE MILL LEVY FUNDS: PROTECTING THE BOSQUE AND OTHER LANDS ALONG THE RIO GRANDE

QUESTION 13: THINKING ABOUT HOW THE COUNTY SHOULD USE THE MILL LEVY FUNDS, PLEASE RATE HOW MUCH OF A PRIORITY EACH OF THE FOLLOWING SHOULD BE USING A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 IS A VERY HIGH PRIORITY AND 1 IS VERY LOW PRIORITY: PROTECTING THE BOSQUE AND OTHER LANDS ALONG THE RIO GRANDE

	TOTAL			REGION			LIVE V ABQ CIT		GEN	IDER	Етни	ICITY		A	GE	
	SAMPLE (N=410)	VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	WESTSIDE	MID- HEIGHTS	FAR HEIGHTS	OTHER	YES	No	MALE	FEMALE	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 YEARS OR OLDER
5 - VERY HIGH PRIORITY	80%	89%	76%	77%	78%	62%	78%	88%	78%	82%	82%	80%	83%	83%	74%	79%
4	14%	8%	19%	14%	13%	38%	15%	11%	15%	13%	12%	14%	12%	12%	20%	13%
3	4%	1%	4%	6%	7%	-	5%	1%	5%	3%	2%	5%	1%	5%	5%	6%
2	1%	1%	-	-	1%	-	1%	-	-	1%	1%	-	2%	-	-	-
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	-	1%	-	1%	1%	-	-	2%	2%
Don't know/won't say	*	-	=	2%	-	-	1%	-	1%	-	1%	-	2%	-	-	-
Mean †	4.7	4.9	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.9	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.7

			Ho	USEHOLD INCO	OME			Educ	ATION		CHILDREN IN	Household
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=410)	LESS THAN \$20,000	\$20,000 TO \$39,999	\$40,000 TO \$59,999	\$60,000 TO \$79,999	\$80,000 And Over	H.S. GRADUATE OR LESS	SOME COLLEGE/ ASSOCIATE	COLLEGE GRADUATE	GRADUATE DEGREE	YES	No
5 - VERY HIGH PRIORITY	80%	85%	83%	82%	86%	74%	81%	84%	78%	72%	85%	76%
4	14%	5%	13%	15%	11%	19%	13%	10%	18%	21%	9%	18%
3	4%	7%	3%	2%	1%	3%	2%	5%	4%	6%	4%	4%
2	1%	3%	-	-	-	1%	1%	-	-	1%	-	1%
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	1%	-	-	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	-	-	1%	1%
Don't know/won't say	*	-	-	-	-	2%	2%	-	-	-	1%	-
Mean †	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.8	4.7

^{*} LESS THAN 1% REPORTED.

[†] The mean score is derived by taking the average score based on the 5-point scale. The Very high priority response is assigned a value of 5; the Very low priority response is assigned a value of 1. The Don't Know/Won't Say responses are excluded from the calculation of the mean.

HIGHEST PRIORITY FOR USE OF THE MILL LEVY FUNDS: PROTECTING THE HABITAT FOR WILDLIFE AND BIRDS

QUESTION 14: THINKING ABOUT HOW THE COUNTY SHOULD USE THE MILL LEVY FUNDS, PLEASE RATE HOW MUCH OF A PRIORITY EACH OF THE FOLLOWING SHOULD BE USING A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 IS A VERY HIGH PRIORITY AND 1 IS VERY LOW PRIORITY: PROTECTING THE HABITAT FOR WILDLIFE AND BIRDS

	TOTAL			REGION				VITHIN TY LIMITS	GEN	NDER	Етни	ICITY		А	GE	
	SAMPLE (N=410)	VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	WESTSIDE	MID- HEIGHTS	FAR HEIGHTS	OTHER	YES	No	MALE	FEMALE	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 YEARS OR OLDER
5 - VERY HIGH PRIORITY	70%	76%	68%	72%	65%	70%	69%	77%	67%	74%	70%	73%	77%	67%	66%	71%
4	20%	16%	20%	16%	27%	18%	20%	19%	20%	20%	20%	19%	15%	27%	21%	19%
3	6%	5%	10%	5%	3%	13%	6%	4%	9%	3%	6%	4%	6%	3%	9%	5%
2	1%	2%	2%	-	1%	-	2%	-	1%	2%	1%	1%	-	1%	2%	2%
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	2%	-	-	5%	2%	-	2%	-	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%
Don't know/won't say	1%	-	-	2%	-	-	1%	-	1%	-	1%	-	2%	-	-	-
Mean †	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.5

			Ho	JSEHOLD INCO	OME			Educ	ATION		CHILDREN IN	Household
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=410)	LESS THAN \$20,000	\$20,000 TO \$39,999	\$40,000 TO \$59,999	\$60,000 TO \$79,999	\$80,000 And Over	H.S. GRADUATE OR LESS	SOME COLLEGE/ ASSOCIATE	COLLEGE GRADUATE	GRADUATE DEGREE	YES	No
5 - Very high priority	70%	79%	82%	75%	70%	56%	71%	79%	66%	58%	72%	69%
4	20%	14%	10%	21%	22%	31%	15%	15%	25%	33%	19%	21%
3	6%	4%	4%	2%	8%	7%	7%	5%	5%	6%	4%	7%
2	1%	2%	2%	-	-	1%	2%	-	1%	2%	1%	2%
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	2%	1%	2%	1%	-	3%	3%	1%	2%	-	2%	1%
Don't know/won't say	1%	-	-	1%	-	2%	2%	-	-	-	1%	-
Mean †	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.6

[†] The Mean score is derived by taking the average score based on the 5-point scale. The Very high priority response is assigned a value of 5; the Very low priority response is assigned a value of 1. The Don't Know/Won't Say responses are excluded from the calculation of the mean.

HIGHEST PRIORITY FOR USE OF THE MILL LEVY FUNDS: PRESERVING LANDS AND BUILDINGS THAT ARE OF HISTORICAL OR CULTURAL SIGNIFICANCE

QUESTION 15: THINKING ABOUT HOW THE COUNTY SHOULD USE THE MILL LEVY FUNDS, PLEASE RATE HOW MUCH OF A PRIORITY EACH OF THE FOLLOWING SHOULD BE USING A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 IS A VERY HIGH PRIORITY AND 1 IS VERY LOW PRIORITY: PRESERVING LANDS AND BUILDINGS THAT ARE OF HISTORICAL OR CULTURAL SIGNIFICANCE

	TOTAL			REGION				VITHIN TY LIMITS	GEN	NDER	Етни	ICITY		A	GE	
	SAMPLE (N=410)	VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	WESTSIDE	MID- HEIGHTS	FAR HEIGHTS	OTHER	YES	No	Male	FEMALE	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 YEARS OR OLDER
5 - VERY HIGH PRIORITY	47%	56%	34%	48%	45%	54%	46%	54%	42%	52%	46%	47%	52%	39%	42%	55%
4	30%	25%	33%	30%	35%	23%	30%	29%	32%	28%	27%	34%	32%	29%	36%	20%
3	16%	14%	23%	15%	13%	16%	16%	14%	16%	16%	17%	14%	13%	20%	14%	18%
2	4%	3%	7%	2%	5%	7%	5%	2%	6%	2%	6%	3%	1%	10%	3%	4%
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	2%	1%	3%	3%	1%	-	2%	-	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	4%	2%
Don't know/won't say	1%	-	-	2%	1%	-	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	-	-	1%
Mean †	4.2	4.3	3.9	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.4	4.1	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.4	4.0	4.1	4.2

			Ho	USEHOLD INCO	OME			Educ	ATION		CHILDREN IN	Household
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=410)	LESS THAN \$20,000	\$20,000 TO \$39,999	\$40,000 TO \$59,999	\$60,000 TO \$79,999	\$80,000 And Over	H.S. GRADUATE OR LESS	SOME COLLEGE/ ASSOCIATE	COLLEGE GRADUATE	GRADUATE DEGREE	YES	No
5 - VERY HIGH PRIORITY	47%	65%	45%	47%	39%	40%	48%	51%	39%	43%	46%	48%
4	30%	23%	35%	33%	32%	31%	27%	32%	39%	23%	30%	30%
3	16%	13%	15%	12%	16%	21%	14%	12%	16%	28%	16%	16%
2	4%	-	2%	6%	8%	4%	5%	4%	3%	5%	6%	3%
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	2%	-	1%	1%	5%	3%	4%	1%	2%	-	1%	2%
Don't know/won't say	1%	-	1%	1%	-	2%	2%	1%	-	-	1%	1%
Mean †	4.2	4.5	4.2	4.2	3.9	4.0	4.1	4.3	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.2

[†] The Mean score is derived by taking the average score based on the 5-point scale. The <u>Very high priority</u> response is assigned a value of 5; the <u>Very low priority</u> response is assigned a value of 1. The <u>Don't Know/Won't Say</u> responses are excluded from the calculation of the mean.

HIGHEST PRIORITY FOR USE OF THE MILL LEVY FUNDS: PURCHASING ADDITIONAL LANDS NEAR EXISTING OPEN-SPACE AREAS

QUESTION 16: THINKING ABOUT HOW THE COUNTY SHOULD USE THE MILL LEVY FUNDS, PLEASE RATE HOW MUCH OF A PRIORITY EACH OF THE FOLLOWING SHOULD BE USING A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 IS A VERY HIGH PRIORITY AND 1 IS VERY LOW PRIORITY: PURCHASING ADDITIONAL LANDS NEAR EXISTING OPEN SPACE AREAS

	TOTAL			REGION			LIVE WITHIN ABQ CITY LIMITS		GENDER		ETHNICITY		Age			
	SAMPLE (N=410)	VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	WESTSIDE	MID- HEIGHTS	FAR HEIGHTS	OTHER	YES	No	MALE	FEMALE	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 YEARS OR OLDER
5 - VERY HIGH PRIORITY	29%	35%	31%	23%	27%	22%	26%	44%	30%	27%	31%	28%	26%	32%	30%	28%
4	30%	27%	33%	34%	28%	29%	31%	27%	30%	31%	31%	30%	32%	32%	30%	25%
3	23%	25%	24%	16%	23%	42%	24%	18%	20%	26%	19%	23%	26%	15%	23%	27%
2	9%	6%	6%	13%	12%	7%	10%	6%	12%	7%	8%	9%	9%	13%	6%	8%
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	7%	7%	6%	10%	7%	-	8%	4%	7%	7%	8%	7%	4%	6%	10%	9%
Don't know/won't say	2%	1%	-	4%	3%	-	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%
Mean †	3.7	3.8	3.8	3.5	3.6	3.7	3.6	4.0	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.6

			Ho	USEHOLD INCO	OME			Educ	ATION		CHILDREN IN	CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD	
	TOTAL SAMPLE	LESS THAN	\$20,000 TO	\$40,000 TO	\$60,000 TO	\$80,000	H.S. GRADUATE	SOME COLLEGE/	COLLEGE	GRADUATE			
	(N=410)	\$20,000	\$39,999	\$59,999	\$79,999	AND OVER	OR LESS	ASSOCIATE	GRADUATE	DEGREE	YES	No	
5 - VERY HIGH PRIORITY	29%	27%	33%	28%	36%	25%	21%	36%	30%	24%	30%	28%	
4	30%	40%	26%	33%	28%	32%	30%	28%	33%	32%	30%	29%	
3	23%	19%	26%	25%	16%	22%	29%	22%	18%	22%	17%	27%	
2	9%	5%	4%	8%	16%	13%	8%	8%	9%	16%	12%	7%	
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	7%	9%	7%	5%	3%	5%	9%	5%	9%	3%	7%	7%	
Don't know/won't say	2%	-	3%	1%	1%	3%	2%	2%	1%	3%	3%	1%	
Mean †	3.7	3.7	3.8	3.7	3.8	3.6	3.5	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.7	3.6	

[†] The Mean score is derived by taking the average score based on the 5-point scale. The <u>Very high priority</u> response is assigned a value of 5; the <u>Very low priority</u> response is assigned a value of 1. The <u>Don't Know/Won't Say</u> responses are excluded from the calculation of the mean.

HIGHEST PRIORITY FOR USE OF THE MILL LEVY FUNDS: PURCHASING FARMLANDS TO INCREASE LOCAL FOOD PRODUCTION

QUESTION 17: THINKING ABOUT HOW THE COUNTY SHOULD USE THE MILL LEVY FUNDS, PLEASE RATE HOW MUCH OF A PRIORITY EACH OF THE FOLLOWING SHOULD BE USING A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 IS A VERY HIGH PRIORITY AND 1 IS VERY LOW PRIORITY: PURCHASING FARMLANDS TO INCREASE LOCAL FOOD PRODUCTION

	TOTAL			REGION			LIVE WITHIN ABQ CITY LIMITS		GENDER		Етнисіту		Age			
	SAMPLE (N=410)	VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	WESTSIDE	MID- HEIGHTS	FAR HEIGHTS	OTHER	YES	No	MALE	FEMALE	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 YEARS OR OLDER
5 - VERY HIGH PRIORITY	47%	54%	40%	45%	47%	37%	47%	47%	42%	52%	48%	45%	59%	51%	39%	33%
4	22%	20%	31%	27%	16%	19%	24%	15%	22%	22%	24%	21%	19%	24%	19%	30%
3	17%	13%	14%	12%	23%	40%	16%	20%	16%	18%	15%	18%	15%	17%	23%	12%
2	5%	4%	5%	4%	7%	4%	5%	6%	5%	5%	3%	6%	2%	3%	6%	10%
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	8%	9%	9%	8%	6%	-	7%	11%	13%	2%	7%	9%	2%	5%	10%	16%
Don't know/won't say	1%	-	1%	4%	1%	-	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	-	3%	-
Mean †	4.0	4.1	3.9	4.0	3.9	3.9	4.0	3.8	3.8	4.2	4.1	3.9	4.3	4.1	3.7	3.5

			Ho	JSEHOLD INCO	OME			Educ	ATION		CHILDREN IN	Household
	TOTAL SAMPLE	LESS THAN	\$20,000 TO	\$40,000 TO	\$60,000 TO	\$80,000	H.S. GRADUATE	•	COLLEGE	GRADUATE		Na
	(N=410)	\$20,000	\$39,999	\$59,999	\$79,999	AND OVER	OR LESS	ASSOCIATE	GRADUATE	DEGREE	YES	No
5 - Very high priority	47%	68%	63%	47%	40%	28%	44%	63%	37%	33%	56%	41%
4	22%	18%	21%	29%	24%	23%	26%	17%	25%	25%	20%	23%
3	17%	6%	9%	12%	21%	30%	18%	11%	17%	26%	15%	18%
2	5%	3%	5%	3%	7%	6%	3%	5%	7%	6%	4%	5%
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	8%	4%	2%	7%	7%	11%	8%	4%	12%	8%	3%	11%
Don't know/won't say	1%	1%	-	2%	2%	3%	2%	-	2%	2%	2%	1%
Mean †	4.0	4.4	4.4	4.1	3.9	3.5	4.0	4.3	3.7	3.7	4.2	3.8

[†] The Mean score is derived by taking the average score based on the 5-point scale. The Very high priority response is assigned a value of 5; the Very low priority response is assigned a value of 1. The Don't Know/Won't Say responses are excluded from the calculation of the mean.

HIGHEST PRIORITY FOR USE OF THE MILL LEVY FUNDS: CREATING MORE HIKING TRAILS IN THE FOOTHILLS

QUESTION 18: THINKING ABOUT HOW THE COUNTY SHOULD USE THE MILL LEVY FUNDS, PLEASE RATE HOW MUCH OF A PRIORITY EACH OF THE FOLLOWING SHOULD BE USING A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 IS A VERY HIGH PRIORITY AND 1 IS VERY LOW PRIORITY: CREATING MORE HIKING TRAILS IN THE FOOTHILLS

	TOTAL			REGION				WITHIN CITY LIMITS GENDER		IDER	ETHNICITY		Age				
	SAMPLE (N=410)	VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	WESTSIDE	MID- HEIGHTS	FAR HEIGHTS	OTHER	YES	No	MALE	FEMALE	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 YEARS OR OLDER	
5 - VERY HIGH PRIORITY	31%	44%	21%	27%	25%	49%	27%	49%	30%	32%	37%	25%	42%	34%	23%	22%	
4	24%	23%	29%	24%	27%	-	27%	14%	25%	24%	21%	29%	25%	18%	26%	32%	
3	25%	18%	37%	24%	25%	15%	26%	18%	22%	27%	23%	25%	20%	26%	29%	23%	
2	12%	10%	8%	14%	10%	32%	12%	11%	12%	11%	12%	12%	8%	15%	14%	11%	
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	7%	4%	5%	8%	10%	-	7%	7%	8%	6%	6%	7%	4%	6%	8%	12%	
Don't know/won't say	1%	-	-	2%	2%	4%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	
Mean †	3.6	3.9	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.6	3.9	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.9	3.6	3.4	3.4	

			Ho	JSEHOLD INCO	ОМЕ			Educ	ATION		CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD	
	TOTAL SAMPLE	LESS THAN	\$20,000 TO	\$40,000 TO	\$60,000 TO	\$80,000	H.S. GRADUATE	SOME COLLEGE/	COLLEGE	GRADUATE		
	(N=410)	\$20,000	\$39,999	\$59,999	\$79,999	AND OVER	OR LESS	ASSOCIATE	GRADUATE	DEGREE	YES	No
5 - VERY HIGH PRIORITY	31%	42%	36%	38%	23%	20%	32%	37%	26%	23%	36%	28%
4	24%	25%	24%	23%	33%	22%	21%	31%	23%	22%	24%	25%
3	25%	16%	25%	21%	28%	31%	26%	19%	27%	29%	20%	28%
2	12%	9%	7%	12%	10%	18%	13%	7%	14%	17%	12%	12%
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	7%	7%	7%	5%	2%	8%	6%	5%	8%	8%	6%	7%
Don't know/won't say	1%	1%	-	1%	4%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	*
Mean †	3.6	3.9	3.7	3.8	3.7	3.3	3.6	3.9	3.5	3.3	3.7	3.6

^{*} LESS THAN 1% REPORTED.

[†] The mean score is derived by taking the average score based on the 5-point scale. The Very high priority response is assigned a value of 5; the Very low priority response is assigned a value of 1. The Don't Know/Won't Say responses are excluded from the calculation of the mean.

HIGHEST PRIORITY FOR USE OF THE MILL LEVY FUNDS:

CREATING URBAN COMMUNITY GARDENS IN VACANT LOTS WITHIN THE CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE AND OTHER AREAS IN BERNALILLO COUNTY

QUESTION 19: THINKING ABOUT HOW THE COUNTY SHOULD USE THE MILL LEVY FUNDS, PLEASE RATE HOW MUCH OF A PRIORITY EACH OF THE FOLLOWING SHOULD BE USING A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 IS A VERY HIGH PRIORITY AND 1 IS VERY LOW PRIORITY: CREATING URBAN COMMUNITY GARDENS IN VACANT LOTS WITHIN THE CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE AND OTHER AREAS IN BERNALILLO COUNTY

	TOTAL		REGION					VITHIN TY LIMITS	GEN	IDER	Етни	ICITY		А	GE	
	SAMPLE (N=410)	VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	WESTSIDE	MID- HEIGHTS	FAR HEIGHTS	OTHER	YES	No	MALE	FEMALE	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 YEARS OR OLDER
5 - VERY HIGH PRIORITY	41%	49%	33%	43%	37%	42%	41%	45%	33%	50%	46%	39%	52%	36%	35%	41%
4	22%	24%	27%	13%	22%	34%	23%	16%	23%	21%	17%	25%	23%	15%	25%	24%
3	20%	11%	23%	24%	25%	15%	20%	21%	23%	17%	13%	24%	15%	23%	26%	17%
2	10%	7%	9%	14%	10%	9%	10%	10%	10%	9%	12%	8%	7%	16%	7%	8%
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	6%	8%	7%	4%	7%	-	6%	8%	10%	3%	10%	5%	1%	10%	6%	10%
Don't know/won't say	1%	-	1%	2%	-	-	1%	-	1%	*	1%	-	2%	-	1%	-
Mean †	3.8	4.0	3.7	3.8	3.7	4.1	3.8	3.8	3.6	4.1	3.8	3.9	4.2	3.5	3.7	3.8

			Ho	USEHOLD INCO	OME			Educ	ATION		CHILDREN IN	Household
	TOTAL SAMPLE	LESS THAN	\$20,000 TO	\$40,000 TO	\$60,000 TO	\$80,000	H.S. GRADUATE	SOME COLLEGE/	COLLEGE	GRADUATE		
	(N=410)	\$20,000	\$39,999	\$59,999	\$79,999	AND OVER	OR LESS	ASSOCIATE	GRADUATE	DEGREE	YES	No
5 - VERY HIGH PRIORITY	41%	61%	42%	50%	36%	28%	37%	50%	40%	33%	42%	40%
4	22%	21%	29%	20%	25%	15%	22%	23%	18%	21%	23%	22%
3	20%	11%	14%	18%	22%	30%	19%	11%	25%	35%	15%	23%
2	10%	1%	14%	6%	11%	13%	10%	11%	9%	8%	15%	6%
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	6%	4%	2%	6%	5%	12%	11%	4%	7%	3%	3%	9%
Don't know/won't say	1%	1%	-	-	-	2%	2%	-	1%	-	2%	-
Mean †	3.8	4.3	4.0	4.0	3.8	3.4	3.7	4.0	3.8	3.7	3.9	3.8

^{*} LESS THAN 1% REPORTED.

[†] The Mean score is derived by taking the average score based on the 5-point scale. The <u>Very high priority</u> response is assigned a value of 5; the <u>Very low priority</u> response is assigned a value of 1. The <u>Don't Know/Won't Say</u> responses are excluded from the calculation of the mean.

HIGHEST PRIORITY FOR USE OF THE MILL LEVY FUNDS: PROTECTING AGRICULTURAL LANDS AROUND THE RIO GRANDE FROM FUTURE DEVELOPMENT

QUESTION 20: THINKING ABOUT HOW THE COUNTY SHOULD USE THE MILL LEVY FUNDS, PLEASE RATE HOW MUCH OF A PRIORITY EACH OF THE FOLLOWING SHOULD BE USING A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 IS A VERY HIGH PRIORITY AND 1 IS VERY LOW PRIORITY: PROTECTING AGRICULTURAL LANDS AROUND THE RIO GRANDE FROM FUTURE DEVELOPMENT

	TOTAL			REGION				VITHIN TY LIMITS	GEN	NDER	Етни	ICITY		А	GE	
	SAMPLE (N=410)	VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	WESTSIDE	MID- HEIGHTS	FAR HEIGHTS	OTHER	YES	No	MALE	FEMALE	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 YEARS OR OLDER
5 - VERY HIGH PRIORITY	56%	65%	58%	48%	50%	61%	54%	61%	50%	61%	65%	48%	58%	53%	57%	54%
4	26%	24%	22%	29%	26%	37%	26%	28%	26%	26%	23%	31%	23%	34%	24%	22%
3	12%	7%	13%	12%	16%	2%	13%	3%	13%	10%	8%	13%	12%	10%	12%	12%
2	2%	4%	2%	1%	2%	-	3%	2%	3%	2%	1%	3%	-	1%	5%	4%
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	4%	1%	4%	7%	4%	-	3%	4%	6%	1%	2%	4%	5%	-	3%	7%
Don't know/won't say	1%	-	-	4%	1%	-	1%	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	2%	1%	-	1%
Mean †	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.6	4.3	4.4	4.1	4.4	4.5	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.1

			Но	USEHOLD INCO	OME			Educ	ATION		CHILDREN IN	Household
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=410)	LESS THAN \$20,000	\$20,000 TO \$39,999	\$40,000 TO \$59,999	\$60,000 TO \$79,999	\$80,000 And Over	H.S. GRADUATE OR LESS	SOME COLLEGE/ ASSOCIATE	COLLEGE GRADUATE	GRADUATE DEGREE	YES	No
5 - VERY HIGH PRIORITY	56%	78%	62%	63%	41%	40%	61%	59%	48%	45%	54%	56%
4	26%	14%	24%	24%	32%	34%	24%	21%	28%	41%	31%	24%
3	12%	5%	10%	7%	20%	15%	8%	14%	17%	7%	9%	13%
2	2%	-	-	5%	1%	3%	2%	1%	5%	2%	1%	3%
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	4%	3%	2%	1%	5%	4%	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%
Don't know/won't say	1%	-	1%	-	-	3%	2%	1%	-	2%	2%	*
Mean †	4.3	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.0	4.1	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.2

^{*} LESS THAN 1% REPORTED.

[†] The mean score is derived by taking the average score based on the 5-point scale. The Very high priority response is assigned a value of 5; the Very low priority response is assigned a value of 1. The Don't Know/Won't Say responses are excluded from the calculation of the mean.

HIGHEST PRIORITY FOR USE OF THE MILL LEVY FUNDS: CREATING MORE OPEN-SPACE AND RECREATIONAL AREAS NEAR LOWER INCOME NEIGHBORHOODS

QUESTION 21: THINKING ABOUT HOW THE COUNTY SHOULD USE THE MILL LEVY FUNDS, PLEASE RATE HOW MUCH OF A PRIORITY EACH OF THE FOLLOWING SHOULD BE USING A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 IS A VERY HIGH PRIORITY AND 1 IS VERY LOW PRIORITY: CREATING MORE OPEN SPACE AND RECREATIONAL AREAS NEAR LOWER INCOME NEIGHBORHOODS

	TOTAL		REGION					VITHIN TY LIMITS	GEN	IDER	Етни	ICITY		A	GE	
	SAMPLE (N=410)	VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	WESTSIDE	MID- HEIGHTS	FAR HEIGHTS	OTHER	YES	No	MALE	FEMALE	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 YEARS OR OLDER
5 - VERY HIGH PRIORITY	45%	62%	33%	43%	40%	20%	45%	42%	37%	53%	49%	41%	57%	37%	42%	42%
4	26%	18%	28%	30%	28%	42%	25%	30%	34%	19%	22%	30%	23%	31%	28%	22%
3	20%	12%	29%	19%	21%	29%	20%	17%	18%	22%	19%	19%	16%	24%	22%	18%
2	4%	4%	7%	3%	5%	5%	4%	3%	5%	4%	5%	3%	3%	6%	3%	6%
1 - VERY LOW PRIORITY	3%	3%	2%	3%	5%	-	3%	6%	5%	1%	2%	5%	-	3%	5%	9%
Don't know/won't say	1%	-	1%	2%	2%	4%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	-	2%	3%
Mean †	4.1	4.3	3.8	4.1	3.9	3.8	4.1	4.0	3.9	4.2	4.1	4.0	4.4	3.9	4.0	3.9

			Ho	JSEHOLD INCO	ОМЕ			Educ	ATION		CHILDREN IN	Household
	TOTAL SAMPLE	LESS THAN	\$20,000 TO	\$40,000 TO	\$60,000 TO	\$80,000	H.S. GRADUATE	SOME COLLEGE/	COLLEGE	GRADUATE		
	(N=410)	\$20,000	\$39,999	\$59,999	\$79,999	AND OVER	OR LESS	ASSOCIATE	GRADUATE	DEGREE	YES	No
5 - VERY HIGH PRIORITY	45%	60%	49%	52%	41%	32%	42%	52%	41%	37%	52%	39%
4	26%	18%	32%	24%	30%	28%	27%	23%	26%	35%	25%	28%
3	20%	14%	16%	17%	19%	25%	22%	19%	18%	17%	15%	23%
2	4%	2%	1%	1%	10%	6%	3%	5%	3%	6%	5%	4%
1 - Very Low Priority	3%	4%	2%	4%	-	5%	3%	1%	8%	4%	2%	5%
Don't know/won't say	1%	2%	-	2%	-	3%	2%	-	4%	2%	2%	1%
Mean †	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.0	3.8	4.0	4.2	3.9	4.0	4.2	3.9

[†] The Mean score is derived by taking the average score based on the 5-point scale. The Very high priority response is assigned a value of 5; the Very low priority response is assigned a value of 1. The Don't Know/Won't Say responses are excluded from the calculation of the mean.

SINGLE HIGHEST PRIORITY FOR COUNTY OFFICIALS

TOTAL SAMPLE (N=410)

QUESTION 22: YOU GAVE HIGH MARKS TO THESE ITEMS (READ HIGHEST STATEMENTS ABOVE). WHICH ONE OF THESE DO YOU THINK SHOULD BE THE SINGLE HIGHEST PRIORITY FOR COUNTY OFFICIALS?

PROTECTING THE WATER QUALITY OF RIVERS AND STREAMS	49%
PROTECTING THE BOSQUE AND OTHER LANDS ALONG THE RIO GRANDE	12%
PROTECTING THE HABITAT FOR WILDLIFE AND BIRDS	8%
PRESERVING LOCAL FARMS AND RANCHES	6%
CREATING MORE OPEN SPACE AND RECREATION AREAS NEAR LOWER INCOME NEIGHBORHOODS	5%
PROTECTING AGRICULTURAL LANDS AROUND THE RIO GRANDE FROM FUTURE DEVELOPMENT	4%
PURCHASING FARMLANDS TO INCREASE LOCAL FOOD PRODUCTION	4%
PRESERVING LANDS AND BUILDINGS THAT ARE OF HISTORICAL OR CULTURAL SIGNIFICANCE	4%
CREATING URBAN COMMUNITY GARDENS IN VACANT LOTS WITHIN THE CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE	
AND OTHER AREAS IN BERNALILLO COUNTY	3%
CREATING MORE HIKING TRAILS IN THE FOOTHILLS	1%
PURCHASING ADDITIONAL LANDS NEAR EXISTING OPEN SPACE AREAS	*
Don't know/won't say	3%

^{*} LESS THAN 1% REPORTED.

FIRST CHOICE OF LAND TO PURCHASE

QUESTION 23: AS I MENTIONED, HALF OF THE MILL LEVY FUNDS IS TO BE USED FOR PURCHASING LANDS. THINKING OF DIFFERENT AREAS IN THE COUNTY WHAT WOULD BE YOUR FIRST CHOICE OF WHERE LAND IS PURCHASED?

	TOTAL			REGION				VITHIN TY LIMITS	GEN	NDER	Етни	ICITY		A	GE	
	SAMPLE (N=410)	VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	WESTSIDE	MID- HEIGHTS	FAR HEIGHTS	OTHER	YES	No	MALE	FEMALE	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 YEARS	35 to 49 YEARS	50 to 64 Years	65 YEARS OR OLDER
ALONG THE RIVER IN THE NORTH VALLEY AREA	34%	29%	50%	36%	29%	23%	35%	30%	37%	30%	31%	37%	32%	39%	30%	36%
AGRICULTURAL LANDS IN THE SOUTH VALLEY	26%	36%	21%	24%	22%	4%	25%	28%	24%	27%	30%	21%	25%	22%	29%	26%
LAND IN THE FOOTHILLS AND EAST																
MOUNTAINS TO PROVIDE MORE FOOT AND	16%	12%	5%	12%	25%	56%	13%	29%	18%	15%	16%	19%	20%	13%	15%	13%
BIKE PATHS																
CULTURAL AND HISTORICAL SITES	8%	8%	10%	8%	8%	-	9%	1%	7%	9%	7%	7%	7%	10%	9%	5%
IN THE SAND DUNE AREA IN THE WESTERN PART OF THE COUNTY	6%	8%	5%	7%	4%	-	7%	1%	3%	9%	6%	4%	10%	3%	5%	4%
PERHAPS SOMEWHERE ELSE	3%	4%	4%	3%	2%	14%	3%	5%	3%	4%	3%	4%	2%	6%	2%	5%
None/don't know/won't say	7%	4%	5%	11%	11%	3%	8%	6%	9%	6%	7%	8%	5%	7%	9%	11%

			Ho	JSEHOLD INCO	OME			Educ	ATION		CHILDREN IN	HOUSEHOLD
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=410)	LESS THAN \$20,000	\$20,000 TO \$39,999	\$40,000 TO \$59,999	\$60,000 TO \$79,999	\$80,000 And Over	H.S. GRADUATE OR LESS	SOME COLLEGE/ ASSOCIATE	COLLEGE GRADUATE	GRADUATE DEGREE	YES	No
ALONG THE RIVER IN THE NORTH VALLEY AREA	34%	21%	41%	27%	37%	38%	25%	40%	37%	35%	34%	34%
AGRICULTURAL LANDS IN THE SOUTH VALLEY	26%	31%	25%	40%	15%	20%	33%	21%	19%	29%	27%	24%
LAND IN THE FOOTHILLS AND EAST												
MOUNTAINS TO PROVIDE MORE FOOT AND	16%	22%	12%	13%	17%	15%	14%	17%	21%	13%	13%	19%
BIKE PATHS												
CULTURAL AND HISTORICAL SITES	8%	8%	11%	1%	11%	7%	8%	8%	7%	6%	9%	7%
In the sand dune area in the Western part of the County	6%	9%	4%	14%	4%	3%	7%	5%	6%	6%	6%	6%
PERHAPS SOMEWHERE ELSE	3%	-	2%	2%	6%	5%	1%	5%	7%	1%	5%	3%
None/don't know/won't say	7%	9%	4%	3%	10%	12%	12%	4%	3%	11%	7%	8%

FIRST CHOICE SPECIFIC "SOMEWHERE ELSE" COMMENTS

TOTAL SAMPLE (N=410)

QUESTION 23: AS I MENTIONED, HALF OF THE MILL LEVY FUNDS IS TO BE USED FOR PURCHASING LANDS. THINKING OF DIFFERENT AREAS IN THE COUNTY WHAT WOULD BE YOUR FIRST CHOICE OF WHERE LAND IS PURCHASED?

ALONG THE RIVER IN THE NORTH VALLEY AREA	34%
AGRICULTURAL LANDS IN THE SOUTH VALLEY	26%
LAND IN THE FOOTHILLS AND EAST MOUNTAINS TO PROVIDE MORE FOOT AND BIKE PATHS	16%
CULTURAL AND HISTORIC SITES	8%
In the sand dune area in the Western part of the County	6%
SOUTH VALLEY/BOSQUE/RIO GRANDE	1%
Westside	1%
CENTRAL PART OF THE COUNTY	*
SAND DUNE IN THE EASTERN PART BY THE MOUNTAINS	*
EAST MOUNTAINS FOR AGRICULTURE	*
LOWER ECONOMIC AREAS	*
MOST GOOD FOR LEAST AMOUNT OF MONEY	*
IMPROVE WHAT YOU HAVE 98^{TH} and Unser	*
98 th and Unser	*
RIO BRAVO	*
None/Don't Know/Won't Say	7%

^{*} LESS THAN 1% REPORTED.

SECOND CHOICE OF LAND TO PURCHASE

QUESTION 24: WHAT AREA WOULD BE YOUR SECOND CHOICE?

	TOTAL			REGION				VITHIN TY LIMITS	GEN	NDER	Етни	IICITY		A	GE	
	SAMPLE (N=410)	VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	WESTSIDE	MID- HEIGHTS	FAR HEIGHTS	OTHER	YES	No	MALE	FEMALE	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 YEARS	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 YEARS OR OLDER
ALONG THE RIVER IN THE NORTH VALLEY AREA	25%	25%	16%	16%	33%	47%	24%	30%	23%	27%	32%	21%	33%	18%	26%	18%
AGRICULTURAL LANDS IN THE SOUTH VALLEY	23%	23%	28%	27%	17%	29%	24%	23%	24%	23%	21%	25%	18%	32%	27%	16%
LAND IN THE FOOTHILLS AND EAST																
MOUNTAINS TO PROVIDE MORE FOOT AND	19%	18%	18%	21%	19%	16%	18%	23%	20%	18%	19%	19%	20%	22%	14%	19%
BIKE PATHS																
CULTURAL AND HISTORICAL SITES	10%	10%	12%	13%	7%	-	11%	5%	8%	12%	7%	13%	9%	7%	9%	16%
In the sand dune area in the Western	9%	12%	10%	7%	6%	6%	8%	10%	10%	7%	7%	9%	7%	6%	10%	12%
PART OF THE COUNTY	370	12/0	10/0	7 70	070	070	670	1070	1070	7 70	7 70	370	7 70	070	10/0	12/0
PERHAPS SOMEWHERE ELSE	3%	1%	6%	1%	3%	-	3%	1%	3%	2%	3%	1%	2%	4%	1%	4%
None/don't know/won't say	12%	11%	8%	15%	15%	3%	13%	9%	13%	11%	12%	12%	10%	10%	13%	15%

			Ho	USEHOLD INCO	OME			Educ	ATION		CHILDREN IN	Household
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=410)	LESS THAN \$20,000	\$20,000 TO \$39,999	\$40,000 TO \$59,999	\$60,000 TO \$79,999	\$80,000 And Over	H.S. GRADUATE OR LESS	SOME COLLEGE/ ASSOCIATE	COLLEGE GRADUATE	GRADUATE DEGREE	YES	No
ALONG THE RIVER IN THE NORTH VALLEY AREA	25%	39%	28%	24%	25%	20%	33%	22%	20%	25%	24%	26%
AGRICULTURAL LANDS IN THE SOUTH VALLEY	23%	17%	25%	30%	24%	21%	20%	27%	26%	23%	24%	23%
LAND IN THE FOOTHILLS AND EAST												
MOUNTAINS TO PROVIDE MORE FOOT AND BIKE PATHS	19%	14%	18%	20%	16%	25%	13%	23%	18%	24%	20%	19%
CULTURAL AND HISTORICAL SITES	10%	4%	13%	12%	9%	8%	9%	9%	14%	4%	8%	11%
In the sand dune area in the Western part of the County	9%	10%	6%	6%	11%	10%	9%	6%	13%	9%	9%	9%
PERHAPS SOMEWHERE ELSE	3%	-	1%	2%	2%	4%	-	5%	3%	4%	3%	2%
None/don't know/won't say	12%	17%	9%	6%	13%	13%	17%	9%	7%	11%	13%	11%

SECOND CHOICE SPECIFIC "SOMEWHERE ELSE" COMMENTS

TOTAL SAMPLE (N=410)

QUESTION 24: WHAT AREA WOULD BE YOUR SECOND CHOICE?

ALONG THE RIVER IN THE NORTH VALLEY AREA	25%
AGRICULTURAL LANDS IN THE SOUTH VALLEY	23%
LAND IN THE FOOTHILLS AND EAST MOUNTAINS TO PROVIDE MORE FOOT AND BIKE PATHS	19%
CULTURAL AND HISTORIC SITES	10%
In the sand dune area in the Western part of the County	9%
Northwest Albuquerque	1%
Corrales	*
CEDAR CREST AREA	*
CITY PARKS	*
TAYLOR RANCH AREA	*
Bosque	*
Area near Sandia Casino	*
BETWEEN SANTA FE AND ALBUQUERQUE	*
None/Don't Know/Won't Say	12%

^{*} LESS THAN 1% REPORTED.

OVERALL IMPORTANCE OF VARIOUS CONSIDERATIONS WHEN PURCHASING LAND: MAKING SURE IT IS EASILY ACCESSIBLE TO THE PUBLIC

QUESTION 25: HOW IMPORTANT DO YOU FEEL EACH OF THE FOLLOWING CONSIDERATIONS SHOULD BE WHEN THE COUNTY MAKES DECISIONS ABOUT WHAT LANDS TO PURCHASE OVER THE NEXT FIFTEEN YEARS? PLEASE USE A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 IS VERY IMPORTANT AND 1 IS NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL: MAKING SURE IT IS EASILY ACCESSIBLE TO THE PUBLIC

	TOTAL			REGION				VITHIN TY LIMITS	GEN	NDER	Етни	ICITY		А	GE	
	SAMPLE (N=410)	VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	WESTSIDE	MID- HEIGHTS	FAR HEIGHTS	OTHER	YES	No	MALE	FEMALE	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 YEARS OR OLDER
5 – VERY IMPORTANT	58%	67%	63%	58%	50%	40%	59%	53%	53%	63%	61%	53%	70%	52%	46%	65%
4	23%	16%	23%	26%	27%	19%	23%	20%	25%	20%	20%	27%	15%	25%	32%	17%
3	14%	14%	10%	10%	17%	22%	12%	20%	15%	12%	13%	14%	11%	19%	14%	10%
2	2%	-	2%	2%	3%	6%	2%	2%	2%	3%	-	3%	1%	3%	3%	2%
1 – NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	13%	2%	6%	4%	1%	4%	2%	2%	1%	4%	4%
Don't know/won't say	1%	-	1%	2%	1%	-	1%	-	2%	*	2%	1%	2%	-	1%	2%
Mean †	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.2	3.7	4.4	4.1	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.1	4.4

			Ho	JSEHOLD INCO	ОМЕ			Educ	ATION		CHILDREN IN	Household
	TOTAL SAMPLE	LESS THAN	\$20,000 TO	\$40,000 TO	\$60,000 TO	\$80,000	H.S. GRADUATE	SOME COLLEGE/	COLLEGE	GRADUATE		
	(N=410)	\$20,000	\$39,999	\$59,999	\$79,999	AND OVER	OR LESS	ASSOCIATE	GRADUATE	DEGREE	YES	No
5 – Very Important	58%	78%	70%	53%	47%	44%	60%	66%	53%	42%	59%	57%
4	23%	6%	16%	24%	41%	27%	14%	23%	26%	33%	22%	23%
3	14%	12%	9%	16%	11%	19%	19%	9%	12%	17%	15%	13%
2	2%	-	3%	1%	1%	3%	-	1%	5%	5%	2%	2%
1 – NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL	2%	2%	1%	4%	-	5%	6%	*	1%	2%	1%	4%
Don't know/won't say	1%	1%	-	2%	-	2%	2%	-	3%	-	2%	1%
Mean †	4.3	4.6	4.5	4.2	4.3	4.0	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.1	4.4	4.3

^{*} LESS THAN 1% REPORTED.

[†] The mean score is derived by taking the average score based on the 5-point scale. The Very Important response is assigned a value of 5; the Not Important At All response is assigned a value of 1. The Don't Know/Won't Say responses are excluded from the calculation of the mean.

OVERALL IMPORTANCE OF VARIOUS CONSIDERATIONS WHEN PURCHASING LAND: PURCHASING LAND THAT IS NEXT TO EXISTING OPEN SPACE OR AGRICULTURAL AREAS

QUESTION 26: HOW IMPORTANT DO YOU FEEL EACH OF THE FOLLOWING CONSIDERATIONS SHOULD BE WHEN THE COUNTY MAKES DECISIONS ABOUT WHAT LANDS TO PURCHASE OVER THE NEXT FIFTEEN YEARS?

PLEASE USE A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 IS VERY IMPORTANT AND 1 IS NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL: PURCHASING LAND THAT IS NEXT TO EXISTING OPEN SPACE OR AGRICULTURAL AREAS

	TOTAL			REGION				VITHIN TY LIMITS	GEN	IDER	Етни	ICITY		A	GE	
	SAMPLE (N=410)	VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	WESTSIDE	MID- HEIGHTS	FAR HEIGHTS	OTHER	YES	No	MALE	FEMALE	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 YEARS OR OLDER
5 – Very Important	28%	27%	25%	33%	28%	18%	27%	31%	27%	29%	33%	27%	26%	25%	27%	37%
4	27%	26%	34%	23%	26%	23%	27%	24%	23%	30%	24%	27%	23%	30%	31%	22%
3	31%	34%	26%	27%	31%	60%	30%	38%	32%	31%	31%	33%	36%	33%	30%	22%
2	7%	6%	8%	9%	6%	-	8%	-	8%	5%	6%	7%	8%	7%	5%	7%
1 – NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL	5%	7%	5%	6%	5%	-	5%	6%	8%	3%	4%	6%	4%	4%	6%	10%
Don't know/won't say	1%	-	1%	2%	3%	-	2%	-	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%
Mean †	3.7	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.6	3.7	3.5	3.8	3.8	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.7	3.7

			Ho	JSEHOLD INCO	OME			Educ	ATION		CHILDREN IN	Household
	TOTAL SAMPLE	LESS THAN	\$20,000 TO	\$40,000 TO	\$60,000 TO	\$80,000	H.S. GRADUATE	SOME COLLEGE/	COLLEGE	GRADUATE		
	(N=410)	\$20,000	\$39,999	\$59,999	\$79,999	AND OVER	OR LESS	ASSOCIATE	GRADUATE	DEGREE	YES	No
5 – Very Important	28%	43%	28%	25%	31%	23%	30%	32%	24%	24%	30%	26%
4	27%	20%	36%	22%	26%	27%	16%	36%	30%	24%	23%	30%
3	31%	28%	23%	39%	33%	32%	36%	24%	28%	41%	33%	30%
2	7%	6%	4%	7%	7%	10%	8%	7%	7%	4%	5%	8%
1 – NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL	5%	2%	8%	5%	2%	7%	8%	1%	8%	6%	6%	5%
Don't know/won't say	1%	1%	1%	2%	-	2%	2%	1%	3%	1%	3%	1%
MEAN †	3.7	4.0	3.7	3.6	3.8	3.5	3.5	3.9	3.6	3.5	3.7	3.6

[†] The mean score is derived by taking the average score based on the 5-point scale. The Very Important response is assigned a value of 5; the Not Important At All response is assigned a value of 1. The Don't Know/Won't Say responses are excluded from the calculation of the mean.

OVERALL IMPORTANCE OF VARIOUS CONSIDERATIONS WHEN PURCHASING LAND: PURCHASING LAND THAT WILL BENEFIT THE GREATEST NUMBER OF PEOPLE

QUESTION 27: HOW IMPORTANT DO YOU FEEL EACH OF THE FOLLOWING CONSIDERATIONS SHOULD BE WHEN THE COUNTY MAKES DECISIONS ABOUT WHAT LANDS TO PURCHASE OVER THE NEXT FIFTEEN YEARS? PLEASE USE A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 IS VERY IMPORTANT AND 1 IS NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL: PURCHASING LAND THAT WILL BENEFIT THE GREATEST NUMBER OF PEOPLE

	TOTAL			REGION				VITHIN TY LIMITS	GEN	IDER	Етни	ICITY		А	GE	
	SAMPLE (N=410)	VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	WESTSIDE	MID- HEIGHTS	FAR HEIGHTS	OTHER	YES	No	MALE	FEMALE	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 YEARS OR OLDER
5 – VERY IMPORTANT	60%	66%	56%	64%	57%	38%	60%	61%	59%	61%	60%	61%	64%	58%	58%	60%
4	21%	16%	24%	23%	22%	37%	22%	18%	23%	20%	15%	26%	23%	22%	23%	15%
3	13%	14%	14%	6%	15%	19%	13%	14%	11%	15%	16%	11%	7%	17%	12%	18%
2	3%	-	5%	3%	3%	3%	2%	5%	3%	2%	4%	*	5%	2%	-	2%
1 – NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL	2%	3%	2%	1%	3%	4%	2%	3%	3%	1%	3%	2%	-	1%	5%	4%
Don't know/won't say	1%	-	-	3%	1%	-	1%	-	1%	*	1%	*	2%	-	1%	1%
Mean †	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.0	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.3

			Ho	JSEHOLD INCO	OME			Educ	ATION		CHILDREN IN	Household
	TOTAL SAMPLE	LESS THAN	\$20,000 TO	\$40,000 TO	\$60,000 TO	\$80,000	H.S. GRADUATE	SOME COLLEGE/	COLLEGE	GRADUATE		
	(N=410)	\$20,000	\$39,999	\$59,999	\$79,999	AND OVER	OR LESS	ASSOCIATE	GRADUATE	DEGREE	YES	No
5 – Very Important	60%	65%	65%	71%	55%	53%	56%	66%	63%	51%	62%	58%
4	21%	16%	20%	15%	30%	21%	24%	18%	19%	27%	20%	23%
3	13%	8%	13%	7%	12%	21%	12%	12%	14%	16%	14%	12%
2	3%	7%	-	2%	3%	1%	3%	2%	1%	4%	1%	3%
1 – NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL	2%	4%	2%	4%	-	2%	4%	2%	1%	1%	1%	3%
Don't know/won't say	1%	-	-	1%	-	2%	2%	-	2%	-	1%	*
Mean †	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.3

^{*} LESS THAN 1% REPORTED.

[†] The mean score is derived by taking the average score based on the 5-point scale. The Very Important response is assigned a value of 5; the Not Important At All response is assigned a value of 1. The Don't Know/Won't Say responses are excluded from the calculation of the mean.

OVERALL IMPORTANCE OF VARIOUS CONSIDERATIONS WHEN PURCHASING LAND: PURCHASING LAND THAT IS THE MOST AT RISK FROM FUTURE DEVELOPMENT

QUESTION 28: HOW IMPORTANT DO YOU FEEL EACH OF THE FOLLOWING CONSIDERATIONS SHOULD BE WHEN THE COUNTY MAKES DECISIONS ABOUT WHAT LANDS TO PURCHASE OVER THE NEXT FIFTEEN YEARS? PLEASE USE A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 IS VERY IMPORTANT AND 1 IS NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL: PURCHASING LAND THAT IS THE MOST AT RISK FROM FUTURE DEVELOPMENT

	TOTAL			REGION				VITHIN TY LIMITS	GEN	NDER	Етни	ICITY		A	GE	
	SAMPLE (N=410)	VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	WESTSIDE	MID- HEIGHTS	FAR HEIGHTS	OTHER	YES	No	MALE	FEMALE	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 Years	50 to 64 Years	65 YEARS OR OLDER
5 – Very Important	42%	48%	43%	40%	38%	39%	41%	52%	38%	47%	40%	48%	45%	36%	40%	51%
4	25%	18%	29%	22%	29%	46%	25%	23%	25%	25%	26%	25%	27%	28%	24%	18%
3	19%	21%	14%	18%	22%	15%	20%	12%	19%	19%	20%	17%	14%	24%	22%	16%
2	6%	7%	6%	6%	6%	-	6%	7%	7%	6%	7%	5%	5%	9%	6%	3%
1 – NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL	5%	3%	7%	11%	3%	-	6%	4%	9%	2%	4%	4%	6%	2%	7%	7%
Don't know/won't say	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	-	2%	3%	3%	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%	4%
Mean †	3.9	4.0	4.0	3.8	4.0	4.2	3.9	4.1	3.8	4.1	3.9	4.1	4.0	3.9	3.8	4.1

			Ho	JSEHOLD INCO	ОМЕ			Educ	ATION		CHILDREN IN	Household
	TOTAL SAMPLE	LESS THAN	\$20,000 TO	\$40,000 TO	\$60,000 TO	\$80,000	H.S. GRADUATE	SOME COLLEGE/	COLLEGE	GRADUATE		
	(N=410)	\$20,000	\$39,999	\$59,999	\$79,999	AND OVER	OR LESS	ASSOCIATE	GRADUATE	DEGREE	YES	No
5 – Very Important	42%	56%	51%	45%	40%	30%	44%	47%	34%	39%	40%	44%
4	25%	25%	21%	27%	30%	28%	20%	24%	34%	26%	25%	24%
3	19%	10%	16%	10%	23%	25%	21%	17%	19%	21%	20%	19%
2	6%	5%	4%	7%	1%	9%	6%	7%	4%	9%	7%	6%
1 – Not Important At All	5%	2%	6%	10%	6%	4%	7%	4%	5%	4%	6%	5%
Don't know/won't say	2%	1%	2%	1%	-	3%	2%	1%	4%	2%	2%	2%
Mean †	3.9	4.3	4.1	3.9	4.0	3.7	3.9	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.9	4.0

[†] The mean score is derived by taking the average score based on the 5-point scale. The Very Important response is assigned a value of 5; the Not Important At All response is assigned a value of 1. The Don't Know/Won't Say responses are excluded from the calculation of the mean.

OVERALL IMPORTANCE OF VARIOUS CONSIDERATIONS WHEN PURCHASING LAND: PURCHASING LAND THAT WILL DO THE MOST TO HELP ENCOURAGE HEALTHY ACTIVITIES AND PROMOTE COMMUNITY HEALTH

QUESTION 29: HOW IMPORTANT DO YOU FEEL EACH OF THE FOLLOWING CONSIDERATIONS SHOULD BE WHEN THE COUNTY MAKES DECISIONS ABOUT WHAT LANDS TO PURCHASE OVER THE NEXT FIFTEEN YEARS? PLEASE USE A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 IS VERY IMPORTANT AND 1 IS NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL: PURCHASING LAND THAT WILL DO THE MOST TO HELP ENCOURAGE HEALTHY ACTIVITIES AND PROMOTE COMMUNITY HEALTH

	TOTAL			REGION				VITHIN TY LIMITS	GE	IDER	Етни	ICITY		A	GE	
	SAMPLE (N=410)	VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	WESTSIDE	MID- HEIGHTS	FAR HEIGHTS	OTHER	YES	No	MALE	FEMALE	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 YEARS	35 to 49 YEARS	50 to 64 Years	65 YEARS OR OLDER
5 – Very Important	58%	60%	52%	62%	55%	64%	57%	61%	52%	64%	61%	58%	71%	48%	52%	58%
4	25%	27%	26%	21%	26%	11%	25%	20%	27%	22%	20%	28%	19%	30%	27%	25%
3	11%	9%	14%	10%	12%	17%	11%	14%	12%	11%	13%	8%	6%	17%	15%	8%
2	3%	-	6%	3%	3%	4%	3%	1%	4%	1%	2%	4%	2%	1%	3%	4%
1 – NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL	2%	1%	2%	4%	2%	-	2%	2%	3%	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%	3%	3%
Don't know/won't say	1%	1%	-	2%	1%	4%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%
MEAN †	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.2	4.2	4.3

			Ho	JSEHOLD INCO	OME			Educ	ATION		CHILDREN IN	Household
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=410)	LESS THAN \$20,000	\$20,000 TO \$39,999	\$40,000 TO \$59,999	\$60,000 TO \$79,999	\$80,000 And Over	H.S. GRADUATE OR LESS	SOME COLLEGE/ ASSOCIATE	COLLEGE GRADUATE	GRADUATE DEGREE	YES	No
5 – VERY IMPORTANT	58%	70%	56%	67%	55%	50%	54%	65%	51%	57%	59%	56%
4	25%	16%	32%	20%	17%	30%	30%	20%	28%	22%	24%	25%
3	11%	9%	8%	7%	17%	15%	11%	8%	13%	18%	12%	11%
2	3%	2%	1%	3%	8%	1%	1%	4%	4%	2%	1%	4%
1 – NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	1%	3%	3%	2%	-	2%	2%
Don't know/won't say	1%	1%	-	1%	-	2%	2%	-	2%	1%	2%	1%
Mean †	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.3

[†] The mean score is derived by taking the average score based on the 5-point scale. The Very Important response is assigned a value of 5; the Not Important At All response is assigned a value of 1. The Don't Know/Won't Say responses are excluded from the calculation of the mean.


PREFERRED TRADE-OFF WHEN DECIDING WHICH LAND TO PURCHASE

QUESTION 30: WHEN DECIDING WHICH LANDS TO PURCHASE, THE COUNTY MAY HAVE TO MAKE SOME TRADE-OFFS. WHICH WOULD YOU GENERALLY PREFER THE COUNTY TO DO?

	TOTAL			REGION				VITHIN TY LIMITS	GEN	IDER	Етни	ICITY		A	GE	
	SAMPLE (N=410)	VALLEY/ DOWNTOWN	WESTSIDE	MID- HEIGHTS	FAR HEIGHTS	OTHER	YES	No	MALE	FEMALE	HISPANIC	Anglo	18 to 34 Years	35 to 49 YEARS	50 to 64 Years	65 YEARS OR OLDER
Purchase smaller, but more expensive																
LAND IN ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE	52%	48%	51%	57%	53%	49%	52%	51%	53%	51%	44%	60%	51%	47%	53%	60%
AREAS																
PURCHASE LARGE AND LESS EXPENSIVE AREAS																
OF LAND IN LESS ENVIRONMENTALLY	38%	45%	41%	28%	38%	27%	38%	36%	35%	41%	46%	31%	42%	46%	35%	25%
SENSITIVE AREAS																
BOTH (VOLUNTEERED)	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-	2%	-
Neither (volunteered)	1%	1%	1%	1%	*	-	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	-	-	4%	-
DEPENDS (VOLUNTEERED)	3%	1%	1%	4%	1%	20%	2%	6%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	5%	1%	5%
Don't know/won't say (volunteered)	6%	2%	6%	9%	8%	4%	6%	5%	7%	5%	5%	6%	6%	3%	6%	10%

		HOUSEHOLD INCOME			EDUCATION				CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD			
	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=410)	LESS THAN \$20,000	\$20,000 TO \$39,999	\$40,000 TO \$59,999	\$60,000 TO \$79,999	\$80,000 And Over	H.S. GRADUATE OR LESS	SOME COLLEGE/ ASSOCIATE	COLLEGE GRADUATE	GRADUATE DEGREE	YES	No
Purchase smaller, but more expensive												
LAND IN ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE	52%	47%	46%	49%	53%	59%	36%	53%	66%	63%	39%	61%
AREAS												
PURCHASE LARGE AND LESS EXPENSIVE AREAS												
OF LAND IN LESS ENVIRONMENTALLY	38%	35%	48%	45%	41%	29%	52%	41%	25%	23%	50%	29%
SENSITIVE AREAS												
BOTH (VOLUNTEERED)	1%	-	1%	1%	-	-	1%	*	-	1%	-	1%
NEITHER (VOLUNTEERED)	1%	4%	-	2%	-	-	2%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%
DEPENDS (VOLUNTEERED)	3%	1%	3%	-	2%	5%	2%	2%	2%	4%	3%	3%
Don't know/won't say (volunteered)	6%	14%	3%	3%	4%	6%	8%	3%	5%	9%	7%	6%

^{*} LESS THAN 1% REPORTED.




DEMOGRAPHICS

TOTAL SAMPLE (N=410)

GENDER	
Male Female	50% 50%
AGE	
18 to 34 years 35 to 49 years 50 to 64 years 65 years or older Won't say	30% 26% 25% 18% 1%
HOUSEHOLD INCOME	
LESS THAN \$20,000 \$20,000 TO \$39,999 \$40,000 TO \$59,999 \$60,000 TO \$79,999 \$80,000 AND OVER WON'T SAY	13% 24% 15% 13% 25% 10%
ETHNICITY	
HISPANIC ANGLO/CAUCASIAN BLACK/AFRICAN-AMERICAN NATIVE AMERICAN INDIAN ASIAN AMERICAN OTHER DESCENT WON'T SAY	43% 43% 3% 4% 1% 5% 2%

EDUCATION	
U	2001
HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE OR LESS	29%
Some college/associate degree/vocational certificate	33%
College graduate (4 years)	20%
GRADUATE DEGREE	15%
Won't say	2%
CHILDREN UNDER 18 IN HOUSEHOLD	
YES	39%
·	
No	59%
Won't say	1%
REGION	
Valley/Downtown	28%
FAR HEIGHTS	28%
MID-HEIGHTS	22%
WESTSIDE	17%
OTHER	4%



TPL BERNALILLO COUNTY GREENPRINT SURVEY MAY 2016 FINAL N=400 RESIDENTS IN BERNALILLO COUNTY

HELLO, MY NAME IS (YOUR NAME) FROM RESEARCH & POLLING, INC. WE ARE CONDUCTING AN IMPORTANT SURVEY ON BEHALF OF BERNALILLO COUNTY ABOUT IMPORTANT ISSUES IMPACTING AREA RESIDENTS. I ASSURE YOU I AM NOT SELLING ANYTHING. YOUR PHONE NUMBER WAS SELECTED AT RANDOM, AND WE WOULD GREATLY APPRECIATE A FEW MINUTES OF YOUR TIME.

- A. DO YOU LIVE IN BERNALILLO COUNTY?
 - 1. YES
 - 2. No (THANK AND TERMINATE)
- B. DO YOU LIVE WITHIN THE CITY LIMITS OF ALBUQUERQUE?
 - 1. YES
 - 2. No
- 1. WHAT DO YOU THINK ARE THE BIGGEST ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES FACING BERNALILLO COUNTY? (DO NOT READ CATEGORIES) (TAKE UP TO 3 RESPONSES)

001.	Air quality: Pollen/allergies	011.	OPEN-SPACE: LOSS OF		
002.	AIR QUALITY: POLLUTION	012.	PARKS: LACK OF		
003.	BOSQUE: FIRE/THREAT OF FIRE	013.	WATER QUALITY		
004.	BOSQUE: HEALTH/SUSTAINABILITY	014.	WATER POLLUTION FROM KAFB/JET FUEL		
005.	BOSQUE: OVER DEVELOPMENT OF	015.	WATER POLLUTION (IN GENERAL)		
006.	CLIMATE CHANGE	016.	WATER SHORTAGE/SUPPLY/DROUGHT		
007.	DEVELOPMENT: TOO MUCH (IN GENERAL)	017.	WILDLIFE HABITAT: LOSS OF		
008.	FARMS: FEWER/LESS FOOD PRODUCTION	499.	NOTHING IN PARTICULAR		
009.	FARM/AGRICULTURAL LANDS: LOSS OF	500.	Don't know/won't say		
010.	OPEN SPACE AREAS: LACK OF	OTHER (SPECIFY)			

I WOULD LIKE TO READ YOU A LIST OF DIFFERENT ACTIVITIES AND ASK YOU TO RATE YOUR LEVEL OF INTEREST IN EACH ONE USING A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 IS VERY INTERESTED AND 1 IS NOT INTERESTED AT ALL.

(RANDON	MIZE)	VERY INTERESTED				NOT INTERESTED AT ALL	Don't Know/ <u>Won't Say</u>
2.	WALKING, RUNNING OR BIKING IN AREAS AROUND THE RIO GRANDE	5	4	3	2	1	6
3.	VISITING DEMONSTRATION GARDENS OR FARMS	5	4	3	2	1	6
4.	WALKING, RUNNING, OR BIKING IN THE SANDIAS	5	4	3	2	1	6
5.	BIRD AND WILDLIFE WATCHING	5	4	3	2	1	6
6.	EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS FOCUSED ON THE LOCAL ENVIRONMENT	5	4	3	2	1	6
7.	VISITING PUBLIC PARKS	5	4	3	2	1	6
8.	VISITING CULTURAL OR HISTORICAL SITES	5	4	3	2	1	6
9.	VISITING UNDEVELOPED OPEN SPACE AREAS	5	4	3	2	1	6

In 2014, voters in Bernalillo County passed a mil levy that will raise approximately 42 million dollars in revenue over the next 15 years. Approximately half of the money is to be used to purchase land within the County that can be used for open space, agriculture and/or preservation purposes, while the other half will be used for maintenance and operations of these types of lands.

10.	WHAT AREA OF THE COUNTY DO YOU BELIEVE IS MOST IN NEED OF ADDITIONAL OPEN SPACE OR LANDS THAT NEED TO BE PRESERVED?	(VERBATIM RESPONSE)
IU.	WHAT AREA OF THE COUNTY DO TOO BELIEVE IS INIOST IN NEED OF ADDITIONAL OPEN SPACE OR LANDS THAT NEED TO BE PRESERVED:	VENDATIIVI NESFONSE)

^{499.} NONE IN PARTICULAR

^{500.} Don't know/won't say

THINKING ABOUT HOW THE COUNTY SHOULD USE THE MIL LEVY FUNDS, PLEASE RATE HOW MUCH OF A PRIORITY EACH OF THE FOLLOWING SHOULD BE USING A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 IS A VERY HIGH PRIORITY AND IS 1 IS VERY LOW PRIORITY. THE FIRST ONE IS:

(RANDO	MIZE)	VERY HIGH PRIORITY				VERY LOW PRIORITY	Don't Know/ Won't Say
11.	PRESERVING LOCAL FARMS AND RANCHES		4	3	2		
12.	PROTECTING THE WATER QUALITY OF RIVERS AND STREAMS	5	4	3	2	1	6
13.	PROTECTING THE BOSQUE AND OTHER LANDS ALONG THE RIO GRANDE	5	4	3	2	1	6
14.	PROTECTING THE HABITAT FOR WILDLIFE AND BIRDS	5	4	3	2	1	6
15.	PRESERVING LANDS AND BUILDINGS THAT ARE OF HISTORICAL OR CULTURAL SIGNIFICANCE	5	4	3	2	1	6
16.	PURCHASING ADDITIONAL LANDS NEAR EXISTING OPEN SPACE AREAS	5	4	3	2	1	6
17.	PURCHASING FARMLANDS TO INCREASE LOCAL FOOD PRODUCTION	5	4	3	2	1	6
18.	CREATING MORE HIKING TRAILS IN THE FOOTHILLS	5	4	3	2	1	6
19.	CREATING URBAN COMMUNITY GARDENS IN VACANT LOTS WITHIN THE CITY ALBUQUERQUE AND OTHER AREAS IN BERNALILLO COUNTY		4	3	2	1	6
20.	PROTECTING AGRICULTURAL LANDS AROUND THE RIO GRANDE FROM FUTURE DEVELOPMENT	5	4	3	2	1	6
21.	CREATING MORE OPEN SPACE AND RECREATION AREAS NEAR LOWER INCOME NEIGHBORHOODS	5	4	3	2	1	6

- **YOU GAVE HIGH MARKS TO THESE ITEMS (**READ HIGHEST STATEMENTS ABOVE**). WHICH ONE OF THESE DO YOU THINK SHOULD BE THE SINGLE HIGHEST PRIORITY FOR COUNTY OFFICIALS? (TAKE ONE RESPONSE ONLY)**
 - 11. Preserving Local Farms and Ranches
 - 12. PROTECTING THE WATER QUALITY OF RIVERS AND STREAMS
 - 13. PROTECTING THE BOSQUE AND OTHER LANDS ALONG THE RIO GRANDE
 - 14. PROTECTING THE HABITAT FOR WILDLIFE AND BIRDS
 - 15. PRESERVING LANDS AND BUILDINGS THAT ARE OF HISTORICAL OR CULTURAL SIGNIFICANCE
 - 16. PURCHASING ADDITIONAL LANDS NEAR EXISTING OPEN SPACE AREAS
 - 17. PURCHASING FARMLANDS TO INCREASE LOCAL FOOD PRODUCTION
 - 18. CREATING MORE HIKING TRAILS IN THE FOOTHILLS
 - 19. CREATING URBAN COMMUNITY GARDENS IN VACANT LOTS WITHIN THE CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE AND OTHER AREAS IN BERNALILLO COUNTY
 - 20. PROTECTING AGRICULTURAL LANDS AROUND THE RIO GRANDE FROM FUTURE DEVELOPMENT
 - 21. CREATING MORE OPEN SPACE AND RECREATION AREAS NEAR LOWER INCOME NEIGHBORHOODS
 - 99. DON'T KNOW/WON'T SAY

- As I mentioned, half of the mil levy funds is to be used for purchasing lands. Thinking of different areas in the County what would be your first choice of where land is purchased... (READ CATEGORIES. TAKE ONE RESPONSE ONLY)
 - 1. ALONG THE RIVER IN THE NORTH VALLEY AREA
 - 2. AGRICULTURAL LANDS IN THE SOUTH VALLEY
 - 3. LAND IN THE FOOTHILLS AND EAST MOUNTAINS TO PROVIDE MORE FOOT AND BIKE PATHS
 - 4. CULTURAL AND HISTORICAL SITES
 - 5. IN THE SAND DUNE AREA IN THE WESTERN PART OF THE COUNTY
 - 6. OR PERHAPS SOMEWHERE ELSE? (**SPECIFY**)
 - 7. None/don't know/won't say (DO NOT READ) (SKIP TO INTRO BEFORE Q.25)
- 24. What area would be your second choice? (READ REMAINING CATEGORIES. TAKE ONE RESPONSE ONLY)
 - 1. ALONG THE RIVER IN THE NORTH VALLEY AREA
 - 2. AGRICULTURAL LANDS IN THE SOUTH VALLEY
 - 3. LAND IN THE FOOTHILLS AND EAST MOUNTAINS TO PROVIDE MORE FOOT AND BIKE PATHS
 - 4. CULTURAL AND HISTORICAL SITES
 - 5. IN THE SAND DUNE AREA IN THE WESTERN PART OF THE COUNTY
 - 6. OR PERHAPS SOMEWHERE ELSE? (**SPECIFY**)
 - 7. None/don't know/won't say (DO NOT READ)

How important do you feel each of the following considerations should be when the County makes decisions about what lands to purchase over the next fifteen years? Please use a 5-point scale where 5 is very important and 1 is not important at all.

(RANDO	MIZE)	Very <u>Important</u>				NOT IMPORTANT <u>AT ALL</u>	Don't Know/ <u>Won't Say</u>
25.	MAKING SURE IT IS EASILY ACCESSIBLE TO THE PUBLIC	5	4	3	2	1	6
26.	PURCHASING LAND THAT IS NEXT TO EXISTING OPEN SPACE OR AGRICULTURAL AREAS	5	4	3	2	1	6
27.	PURCHASING LAND THAT WILL BENEFIT THE GREATEST NUMBER OF PEOPLE	5	4	3	2	1	6
28.	PURCHASING LAND THAT IS THE MOST AT RISK FROM FUTURE DEVELOPMENT	5	4	3	2	1	6
29.	PURCHASING LAND THAT WILL DO THE MOST TO HELP ENCOURAGE HEALTHY ACTIVITIES AND PROMOTE COMMUNITY HEALTH	5	4	3	2	1	6

30. When deciding which lands to purchase, the County may have to make some trade-offs. Which would you generally prefer the County do? (READ CATEGORIES. TAKE ONE RESPONSE ONLY)

(ROTATE ANSWERS 1 AND 2)

- 1. Purchase smaller, but more expensive land in environmentally sensitive areas
 - OR -
- 2. PURCHASE LARGE AND LESS EXPENSIVE AREAS OF LAND IN LESS ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE AREAS.
- 3. BOTH (VOLUNTEERED)
- 4. Neither (Volunteered)
- 5. DEPENDS (VOLUNTEERED)
- 6. Don't know/won't say (Volunteered)

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PATIENCE; WE ARE ALMOST DONE. NOW, I WOULD LIKE TO ASK SOME QUESTIONS FOR STATISTICAL PURPOSES ONLY.

31.	INTO WHICH AGE CATEGORY DO YOU FIT?	(READ CATEGORIES)	ì
-----	-------------------------------------	-------------------	---

- 1. 18 TO 34 YEARS
 2. 35 TO 49 YEARS
 3. WON'T SAY (DO NOT READ)
- 3. 50 to 64 years
- 32. Which of the following categories best describes your total household income? (READ CATEGORIES)
 - 1. LESS THAN \$20,000 4. \$60,000 TO \$79,999
 - \$20,000 TO \$39,999
 \$40,000 TO \$59,999
 Won't SAY (DO NOT READ)
- 33. Do you consider yourself to be: (READ CATEGORIES)
 - 1. HISPANIC 5. ASIAN AMERICAN
 - 2. ANGLO/CAUCASIAN 6. OR OF OTHER DESCENT?
 - 3. Black/African-American 7. Won't say (DO <u>NOT</u> READ)
 - 4. NATIVE AMERICAN INDIAN
- 34. What is the highest level of education you have attained? (READ CATEGORIES)
 - 1. Some high school 4. College graduate (4 years)
 - 2. High school graduate 5. Graduate degree
 - 3. Some college/associate degree/vocational certificate 6. Won't say (DO NOT READ)
- 35. DO YOU HAVE CHILDREN UNDER THE AGE OF 18 IN THE HOUSEHOLD?
 - 1. YES 3. WON'T SAY (DO <u>NOT</u> READ)
 - 2. No
- 36. What is the zip code at your residence?

99999. Don't know/won't say

THIS CONCLUDES OUR SURVEY. THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME. HAVE A GOOD DAY.

POLLER: WAS RESPONDENT:

- 1. MALE
- 2. FEMALE

RESPONDENT'S PHONE NUMBER

Interviewer Name

Interviewer Code

POLLER: WHICH LIST IS THIS SURVEY FROM?

- 1. LANDLINE
- 2. CELL PHONE